JMA/WMO Workshop on Quality Management of Surface Observations RA II WIGOS Project Tokyo, Japan, 19-23 March 2018

Accuracy of precipitation measurements, instrument calibration and techniques for data correction and interpretation

Catching-type Rain Gauges: Standards and Performance



Mattia Stagnaro Luca G. Lanza Arianna Cauteruccio



University of Genova - DICCA Dept of Civil, Chemical and Environmental Engineering



WMO/CIMO Lead Centre "B. Castelli" on Precipitation Intensity







- **>** Rain Gauges
- > WMO/CIMO guide
- Satandards (Catching-type Gauges)
- Calibration techniques (lab and field)
- Tipping-Bucket Rain Gauges
- Weighing Gauges
- > Drop Counter Rain Gauges
- > References

Rain Gauges



Catching type Rain Gauges



- ✓ Tipping Bucket Rain Gauge (TBRG)
- ✓ Weighing Gauge (WG)
- ✓ Drop Counter

NON-Catching type Rain Gauges



- ✓ Radar
- ✓ Impact

> WMO/CIMO guide



Guide to Meteorological Instruments and

Guide to Meteorological Instruments and Methods of Observation: (CIMO guide)

WMO- No. 8 (2014 – updated 2017)

CHAPTER 6. MEASUREMENT OF PRECIPITATION

Annex 6.C:

Provides **principles** and **requirements** for the rain gauges calibration system and calibration procedures.

In addition, describes the procedure for **data interpretation** of the results in terms of relative error, and the indication of the ±5% limit on the graph to highlights the WMO requirements



WORLD METEOROLOGICAL ORGANIZATION

Standards (Catching-Type)





BS 7843–3:2012: Acquisition and management of meteorological precipitation data from a gauge network. Part 3: Code of practice for the design and manufacture of storage and automatic collecting rain gauges.

A standard on the UK reference storage daily rain gauge and related aspects. Calibration concepts are based on the **CEN/TR 16469**. A classification is introduced only on Tipping Bucket gauges:

Class	Maximum Acceptable Deviation	
А	±5%	dynamically calibrated according to CEN/TR 16469:2012
В	10-15 mm/h	static calibration only

Weighing rain gauges should be calibrated accurately by the manufacturer





UNI 11452:2012

HYDROMETRY – MEASUREMENT OF RAINFALL INTENSITY (LIQUID PRECIPITATION) : METROLOGICAL REQUIREMENTS AND TEST METHODS FOR CATCHING TYPE GAUGES

This standard defines the metrological requirements for rainfall intensity (liquid precipitation) gauges and establishes classification criteria based on the evaluation of measurement accuracy.

This standard is applicable irrespective of the measurement principle (i.e. physical principle on which measurement is based) and the technical and technological characteristics of the specific gauge.

The description of test procedures and equipment for calibration and metrological confirmation both in the laboratory and on-site, under steady flow conditions are provided for the catching type gauges only.



University of Genova



The various Classes shall be attributed to each rainfall intensity gauge, for the interval of rain intensity values where attribution of the Class is requested, according to the following requirements:

Class	Maximum Acceptable Deviation	Step response time (*)	
Α	±3%	< 1 min	
В	±5%	< 1 min	
С	±5%	≥ 1 min	
	±10%	< 1 min	
(*) Relevant for weighing gauges only			

$$e_{rel} (\%) = \frac{RI_{meas} - RI_{ref}}{RI_{ref}} \cdot 100$$

RImeasMeasured Rainfall IntensityRIrefReference Rainfall Intensity



Calibration techniques (lab and field)



VARIABLE RI SIMULATOR (Laboratory)

RI simulator performance evaluation:

- Calibration of the generated RI (average of multiple realizations).
- Evaluation of the RI repeatability.
- Evaluation of the instrumental delays in executing the commands (start, stop, RI change)



Operational range: Trueness estimation: Precision estimation: Time resolution:

```
20 - 450 ml/min
max e_{avg}=1 %
max CV = 0.4%
\Delta t_{min}=15 sec
```

evaluated at 60 ml/min evaluated at 20 ml/min (characteristic time < 200 ms)

Calibration techniques (lab and field)



VARIABLE RI SIMULATOR (Field)

Man-portable device for calibration verification in field





Key

- 1 air intake
- 2 tap
- 3 air
- 4 electrodes for emptying time
- 5 water
- 6 electronic timer
- 7 nozzle
- 8 I_{ref} (mm/h) = const

Stagi L. and Lanza, L.G. (2006)



VARIABLE RI SIMULATOR (Field)



Key

- air intake
- 2 tap
- 3 air
- 4 electrodes for emptying time
- 5 water
- 6 electronic timer
- 7 nozzle
- 8 I_{ref} (mm/h) = const

- Verify the operational status of raingauges
- According to the raingauge collector size and the value of rainfall intensity chosen for the calibration, the suitable combination of air intakes and nozzles should be selected to generate the desired constant flow

Stagi L. and Lanza, L.G. (2006)

University of Genova

Main source of errors:

- (a) The loss of water during the tipping action in heavy rain **SISTEMATIC MECHANICAL ERROR**
- (b) The discontinuous nature of the record may not provide satisfactory data during light drizzle or very light rain. **SAMPLING ERROR**







THE SAMPLING ISSUE

Development of algorithms aimed at the improvement of the rainfall intensity sampling performed by tipping-buckets type gayges. The investigations was carried out by means of numerical simulations of real-world events measured by the Hong Kong Observatory drop counter located at the HK International Airport.

The TBR sensitivity were simulated in order to isolate the effect of sampling limitations from other typical uncertainties factors (mechanical errors, wetting looses, etc.):

 $h_n = 0.5 \text{ mm}$

The tested algorithms consist of:

- a traditional interpretation of the volumetric sampling.
- an improved algorithm based on the inter-tip times.
- statistical disaggregation of version n.
 2 (smoothing algorithm).



Colli, M., Lanza, L.G., Chan, P.W., (2013)



THE SAMPLING ISSUE

Box plots of the one-minute RI measurements errors obtained by an ideal TBR with sensitivity $h_n=0.5$ mm.



e_{rough}: e_{smoothed}: errors using a traditional interpretation algorithm errors using the improved algorithm

Colli, M., Lanza, L.G., Chan, P.W., (2013)

Tipping-Bucket Rain Gauges



THE DOUBLE LAYER TBRG - Shangai SL3



Mean Relative error - Count of tip



Tipping-Bucket Rain Gauges



THE DOUBLE LAYER TBRG - Shangai SL3





Tipping-Bucket Rain Gauges



THE DOUBLE LAYER TBRG - Shangai SL3





Weighing Gauges

University of Genova

Correction methodology for WGs based on the similarity with a first order dynamical system. The estimation of WG dynamic behavior has been performed by realizing single, double and multiple steps flow rates with the laboratory RI generator.

$$y(\tau) = 1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}$$





$$h_{I\min} = dt - \tau \left(1 - e^{\left(-dt/\tau\right)}\right)$$
$$h_{II\min} = \left(dt - \tau \left(1 - e^{\left(-dt/\tau\right)}\right)\right) \left(1 + \alpha\right) + \alpha \tau \left(1 - e^{\left(-dt/\tau\right)}\right)^{2}$$



Improved estimation of Rainfall Intensity employing the correction methodology:



Colli, M., Lanza, L.G., La Barbera, P., 2013

University of Genova

Measuring Principle:

- It is a catching type Rain gauge.
 The funnel collect the rain towards a calibrated nozzle which start to drip.
- An optical sensor, placed under the nozzle, detect the drop passage and calculate the drop frequency. The droplet frequency is related to the rainfall intensity.
- The total volume measured by the sensor is calculated assuming a constant volume of the droplets.





Performance of Drop Counting Rain Gauge under Dynamic Calibration using a constant drop volume





The tests show that the volume of the droplets varies as the drop frequency changes:



Drop Freq. (*drops/min*)

Drop Counter Rain Gauges







Operational limit:

The operational limit of this kind of instrument is given by the RI at which the water flux from the nozzle starts to be continuous or it can not be considered as a regular drop dispensing flux.





Since the instrument measures only frequencies of the droplets, it is not possible to know a-priori the real RI, because it is not possible to know if the limit is exceeded or not.

A co-located rain gauge is required to be able to use this gauge operationally.

> Non-Catching type Gauges

Tests on NON-Catching type instruments : disdrometers



University of Genova

WMO/CIMO LC-Prin

> Non-Catching type Gauges

Tests on NON-Catching type instruments : disdrometers

The results of the tests highlights that the instruments in some cases attribute the drops to the upper class of diameter, inducing a overestimation of rainfall intensity.











- BS 7843-3:2012: Acquisition and management of meteorological precipitation data from a gauge network., Standard, British Standards Institution, 2012.
- •UNI 11452:2012: Hydrometry Measurement of rainfall intensity (liquid precipitation) Metrological requirements and test methods for catching type gauges., Standard, Ente Nazionale Italiano di Unificazione, Milano, IT, 2012.
- •WMO: Guide to Meteorological Instruments and Methods of Observation (CIMO)-No. 8, World Meteorological Organization, 8th edn., 2014
- Stagi L. and Lanza, L.G. (2006). Device for the generation of various known and constant liquid flow rates. Patent University of Genoa n. 102006A000868, 7 December 2006.
- •Colli, M., Lanza, L.G. and P.W. Chan (2013). Co-located tipping-bucket and optical drop counter RI measurements and a simulated correction algorithm. *Atmos. Res.*, **119**, 3-12.
- •Colli, M., Lanza, L.G. and P. La Barbera (2013). Performance of a weighing rain gauge under laboratory simulated time-varying reference rainfall rates, Atmos. Res., 131, 3-12
- •Stagnaro, M., Colli, M., Lanza, L.G. and P.W. Chan (2016). Performance of post-processing algorithms for rainfall intensity measurements of tipping-bucket rain gauges. J. Atmos. Meas. Techn., **9**, 5699–5706.

for further information:

http://www.precipitation-intensity.it

mattia.stagnaro@unige.it luca.lanza@unige.it