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## Introduction

The RSMC Tokyo - Typhoon Center (hereinafter referred to as "the Center") is the Regional Specialized Meteorological Centre (RSMC) with activity specialization in analysis, tracking and forecasting of western North Pacific tropical cyclones within the framework of the World Weather Watch (WWW) Programme of the World Meteorological Organization (WMO). The Center was established at the Headquarters of theJ apan Meteorological Agency (JMA) in July 1989, following the designation by the WMO Executive Council at its 40th session held in Geneva in J une 1988.

The Center conducts the following operations on a routine basis:
(1) Preparation of information on the formation, movement and development of tropical cyclones and associated meteorol ogical phenomena;
(2) Preparation of information on synoptic scale atmospheric situations that affect the behavior of tropical cyclones; and
(3) Dissemination of the above information to National Meteorological Centers (NMCs), in particular to the Members of the ESCAP/WMO Typhoon Committee, in appropriate formats for operational processing.

In addition to the routine services mentioned above, the Center distributes a series of reports entitled "Annual Report on Activities of the RSMC Tokyo - Typhoon Center" to serve as operational references for the NMCs concerned. This report aims at summarizing the activities of the Center and reviewing tropical cyclones of the year.

In this 2002 issue, the outline of routine operations at the Center and its operational products are presented in Chapter 1. Chapter 2 reports the major activities of the Center in 2002. Chapter 3 describes atmospheric and oceanic conditions in the tropics and gives the highlights of tropical cyclone activities in 2002. In Chapter 4, verification statistics of operational forecasts and predictions of the two numerical weather prediction (NWP) models of the Center are presented. The best track data for the tropical cyclones in 2002 are shown in table and chart forms in appendices. All the texts, tables, charts and appendixes are included in the CD-ROM attached to this report.

The CD-ROM contains 3-hourly cloud images of all the tropical cyclones in 2002 of TS intensity or higher in the area of responsibility of the Center, and software to view them. The software has various functions for analyzing satellite imagery such as animation of images, which facilitates efficient post-analysis of tropical cyclones and their environments. A setup program and a users' manual for the software are also included in the CD-ROM. Appendix 7 shows an outline of the CD-ROM and how to use the software.

## Chapter 1

## Operations at the RSMC Tokyo - Typhoon Center in 2002

The area of responsibility of the Center covers the western North Pacific and the South China Sea ( $0^{\circ}-60^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{E}-180^{\circ}$ ) including the marginal seas and adjacent land areas (see Figure 1.1). The Center makes analyses and forecasts of tropical cyclones when they are in or expected to move into the area and provides the National Meteorological Services (MSs) concerned with the RSMC products through the GTS, the AFTN and the J MA radio facsimile broadcast (J MH).


Figure 1.1
Area of responsibility (yellow) of the RSMC Tokyo - Typhoon

### 1.1 Analysis

Surface analyses are performed four times a day, at 00, 06, 12 and 18 UTC. The tropical cyclone analysis begins with the determination of the center position of a tropical cyclone. Cloud images from the Geostationary Meteorological Satellite (GMS) are the principal source for the determination of the center position, especially of tropical cyclones migrating over the data-sparse ocean area. The direction and speed of the movement of a tropical cyclone are determined primarily from the six-hourly displacement vectors of the center position.

The central pressure of a tropical cyclone is determined mainly from the Cl -number, which is derived from the satellite imagery using Dvorak's method. The Cl -number also gives the maximum sustained wind speed in the vicinity of the center. Radii of circles for the gale-force wind and the storm-force wind are determined from surface observations and low-level cloud motion winds (LCW) derived from cloud motion vectors of GMS images at fifteen-minute intervals in the vicinity of the tropical cyclone.

### 1.2 Forecast

Predictions of the two NWP models of J MA, Typhoon Model (TYM) and Global Spectral Model (GSM), are the primary bases for the forecast of tropical cyclone tracks. The Persistence-Climatol orgy method (PC method) that uses statistical techniques on the basis of linear extrapolation and climatological properties of tropical cyclone movements is also
adopted for tropical cyclones particularly in lower latitudes. The central pressure and the maximum sustained wind speed are forecast based on the results of Dvorak's method, the PC method and NWP.

The range into which the center of a tropical cyclone is expected to move with 70\% probability at each validation time is shown as the probability circle. The radius of the circle is statistically determined according to the speed of tropical cyclone movement.

### 1.3 Provision of RSMC Products

The Center prepares and disseminates the following RSMC bulletins and charts via the GTS, the AFTN or the J MH when:

- a tropical cydone of tropical storm (TS) intensity or higher exists in the area of responsibility of the Center;
- a tropical cyclone is expected to reach TS intensity or higher in the area within 24 hours; or
- a tropical cyclone of TS intensity or higher is expected to move into the area within 24 hours.

The RSMC products are continually issued as long as the tropical cyclone keeps TS intensity or higher within the area of responsibility. Appendix 5 denotes the code forms of the bulletins transmitted through the GTS.

RSMC Tropical Cyclone Advisory (WTPQ20-25 RJTD: via GTS)
The RSMC Tropical Cydone Advisory reports the following elements in the analysis, 24-hour, 48 -hour and 72 -hour forecasts of a tropical cyclone, respectively:

| Analysis | Center position of a tropical cyclone <br> Accuracy of determination of the center position <br> Direction and speed of the movement <br> Central pressure <br> Maximum sustained wind speed (10-minute averaged) <br> Radii of over 50- and 30-knot wind areas |
| :--- | :--- |
| 24-and 48-hour forecasts | Center position and radius of the probability circle* <br> Direction and speed of the movement <br> Central pressure <br> Maximum sustained wind speed (10-minute averaged) |
| 72-hour forecast | Center position and radius of the probability circle* |
|  | Direction and speed of the movement |

*A circular range into which the tropical cyclone is expected to move with the probability of $70 \%$ at each validation time.

The RSMC Guidance for Forecast reports the results of predictions of GSM and TYM: GSM is run twice a day with initial analyses at 00 and 12 UTC and TYM four times a day with initial analyses at 00, 06, 12 and 18 UTC. The Guidance presents GSM's six-hourly predictions of a tropical cyclone up to 90 hours for 00 and 12 UTC and TYM's six-hourly predictions up to 84 hours for $00,06,12$ and 18 UTC. It includes:

```
NWP prediction (T=06 to 84 or 90)
                            Center position of a tropical cyclone
    Central pressure*
    Maximum sustained wind speed*
```

* Predictions of these parameters are given as deviations from those at the initial time.


## SAREP (TCNA20/21 RJTD: via GTS)

The SAREP reports a tropical cyclone analysis using GMS imagery including intensity information (CI-number) based on Dvorak's method. It is issued a half to one hour after observations at $00,03,06,09,12,15,18$ and 21 UTC and contains:

GMS imagery analysis
Center position of a tropical cyclone
Accuracy of determination of the center position
Mean diameter of the cloud system
Cl-number**
Apparent change in intensity in the last 24 hours**
Direction and speed of the movement
** These parameters are reported at 00, 06, 12, 18 UTC while not at other times.
RSMC Prognostic Reasoning (WTPQ30-35 RJTD: via GTS)
The RSMC Prognostic Reasoning provides a brief reasoning for a tropical cyclone forecast. It is issued at 00 and 06 UTC following the issuance of the RSMC Tropical Cyclone Advisory. In the bulletin, general comments on the forecasting method, synoptic situation of the subtropical ridge, movement and intensity of the tropical cyclone, and some relevant remarks are given in plain language.

RSMC Tropical Cyclone Best Track (AXPQ20 RJTD: via GTS)
The RSMC Tropical Cyclone Best Track gives post-analyzed data of tropical cyclones. It contains the center position, central pressure and maximum sustained wind. The Best Track for a tropical cyclone is finalized usually one and a half months after the termination of issuance of above RSMC bulletins for the tropical cyclone.

## Tropical Cyclone Advisory for SIGMET (FKPQ30-35 RJTD: via AFTN)

The Center, as one of the Tropical Cyclone Advisory Centres under the framework of the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO), provides the Tropical Cyclone Advisory for SIGMET for Meteorological Watch Offices (MWOs) concerned to support the preparation of SIGMET information on a tropical cyclone. It includes the following elements in the analysis, 12-hour, 24 -hour forecasts of a tropical cyclone:
Analysis and 12-and 24 -hour forecasts
Center position of a tropical cyclone (analysis)
Center position of the tropical cyclone (forecast)
Direction and speed of the movement
Central pressure
Maximum sustained wind speed (ten-minute averaged)

## Prognostic Charts of $850-\mathrm{hPa}$ and $200-\mathrm{hPa}$ Streamline

 (FUXT852/202, FUXT854/204: via JMH)Analysis and 24- and 48 -hour prognostic charts of $850-\mathrm{hPa}$ and $200-\mathrm{hPa}$ streamlines are broadcast via the JMA's HF radio facsimile (J MH). These prognoses are produced with GSM at 00 and 12 UTC over the area spanning from $20^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in latitude and from $80^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ in longitude.

### 1.4 RSMC Data Serving System

J MA has been operating the RSMC Data Serving System that allows NMCs concerned to retrieve NWP products such as predicted fields in grid-point-value (GPV) form and observational data through the Internet or the Integrated Service Digital Network (ISDN) since 1995. The products and data provided through the system are listed in Appendix 6.

## Tropical Cyclone Web Site:

Tropical cyclone advisories are available on a real time basis through the Internet at:
http://ddb.kishou.go.jp/typhoon/cyclone/cycl one.html
http://www.jma.go.jp/J MA_HP/en/typh/typh.all.html

## Chapter 2

## Major Activities of the RSMC Tokyo - Typhoon Center in 2002

### 2.1 Dissemination of RSMC Products

In 2002, the RSMC Tokyo - Typhoon Center provided operational products for tropical cyclone forecasting to NMCs via the GTS, the AFTN and the J MA radio facsimile broadcast (J MH). M onthly and annual total numbers of issuance of the products are listed in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 M onthly and annual total number of products issued by the RSMC
Tokyo - Typhoon Center in 2002

| Product | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TCNA20 | 5 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 20 | 26 | 202 | 107 | 98 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 582 |
| TCNA21 | 8 | 6 | 31 | 0 | 26 | 29 | 216 | 120 | 104 | 33 | 38 | 33 | 644 |
| WTPQ20-25 | 9 | 7 | 31 | 0 | 27 | 35 | 363 | 249 | 211 | 71 | 79 | 67 | 1149 |
| WTPQ30-35 | 4 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 13 | 18 | 111 | 62 | 53 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 333 |
| FXPQ20-25 | 12 | 10 | 45 | 0 | 39 | 47 | 289 | 169 | 151 | 52 | 56 | 50 | 920 |
| FKPQ30-35 | 8 | 7 | 30 | 0 | 26 | 35 | 219 | 124 | 102 | 34 | 38 | 33 | 656 |
| AXPQ20 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 26 |
| AUXT85/20 | 62 | 56 | 62 | 60 | 62 | 60 | 62 | 62 | 60 | 62 | 60 | 62 | 730 |
| FUXT852/854 | 62 | 56 | 62 | 60 | 62 | 60 | 62 | 62 | 60 | 62 | 60 | 62 | 730 |
| FUXT202/204 | 62 | 56 | 62 | 60 | 62 | 60 | 62 | 62 | 60 | 62 | 60 | 62 | 730 |

        Notes: - via the GTS or the AFTN -
    SAREP TCNA20/21 RJTD
RSMC Tropical Cyclone Advisory WTPQ20-25 RJ TD
RSMC Prognostic Reasoning
WTPQ30-35 RJTD
RSMC Guidance for F orecast
Tropical Cyclone Advisory for SIGMET
RSMC Tropical Cyclone Best Track
FXPQ20-25 RJTD
FKPQ30-35 RJ TD
AXPQ20 RJTD
- via the JMH Meteorological Radio Facsimile-
Analysis of 850 and 200 hPa Streamline
Prognosis of 850 hPa Streamline
AUXT85/AUXT20
FUXT852/F UXT854
Prognosis of 200 hPa Streamline
FUXT202/F UXT204

### 2.2 Publication

The Center published:

1) "Technical Review (No. 5)" that contains a paper entitled "Verifications of Tropical Cyclone Predictions of the New Numerical Models at J MA" in March 2002; and
2) "Annual Report on Activities of the RSMC Tokyo-Typhoon Center in 2001" in November 2002.

### 2.3 Monitoring of Observational Data Availability

The Center carried out regular monitoring of the information exchange for enhanced observations of tropical cyclones in accordance with the standard procedures stipulated in Section 6.2, Chapter 6 of "The Typhoon Committee Operational Manual (TOM) Meteorological Component." The monitoring for this season was conducted for the following two periods:

1. from 00UTC 1 J uly to 18 UTC 5 J uly (for TY Rammasun (0205))
2. from OOUTC 2 August to 18UTC 6 August (for STS Kammuri (0212))

The results were distributed to all the Typhoon Committee Members in April 2003, and are available on the Distributed Database of J MA at:
ftp://ddb.kishou.go.jp/pub/monitoring/rsmc

## Chapter 3

## Atmospheric and Oceanographic Conditions in the Tropics and Tropical Cyclones in 2002

### 3.1 Summary of Atmospheric and Oceanographic Conditions in the Tropics

Sea surface temperatures (SSTs) were above normal in the western equatorial Pacific almost throughout the year 2002. In the South China Sea, positive SST anomalies were widely observed throughout the year except September. SSTs were above normal around $160^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ during J anuary to J uly and in November. The SST anomaly for a monitoring region (NINO.WEST: $0^{\circ}-14^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 130^{\circ} \mathrm{E}-150^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) was positive until June, then it kept 0.0 for three months from J uly to September and turned to be positive again from October. Charts of monthly mean SST anomalies for the western North Pacific are included in the attached CD-ROM.

Active convection areas over the western Pacific $\left(150^{\circ} \mathrm{E}-180^{\circ}\right)$ expanded to the central Pacific and the most active areas appeared near the International Date Line in November.

The center of the large-scale divergence at 200 hPa shifted eastward from its normal position associated with eastward shift of active convection areas. Cyclonic anomalies appeared in lower level over the equatorial Pacific in the latter half of the year, while low level anti-cyclonic circulation anomalies persisted near the Philippines from October to December.

The subtropical jet near J apan was shifted northward in J uly and southward in August. It was stronger than normal in August. Westward expansion of the sub-tropical high in the western Pacific was weaker than normal in July and stronger than normal in August.

In consequence many tropical cyclones were generated east of $150^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and two tropical cyclones crossed the International Date Line to the west keeping the hurricane intensity. Monthly mean streamlines at 850 hPa for August and tropical cyclone tracks in August are presented in Figure 3.1 and Appendix 3, respectively.


Figure 3.1 Monthly mean stream line at 850 hPa and area of high-cloud amount greater than $30 \%$ (shaded) in August 2002. Tracks of the tropical cyclones formed in August are superimposed (thick lines).

Charts of monthly mean streamlines at 850 hPa and 200 hPa , and high-cloud amounts for the months from J anuary to December are included in the attached CD-ROM.

### 3.2 Tropical Cyclones in 2002

In 2002, 26 tropical cyclones of tropical storm (TS) intensity or higher were tracked in the western North Pacific and the South China Sea. The total number is normal compared to the thirty-year average of 26.7 for 1971-2000. Fifteen cyclones out of them ( $58 \%$ of the total) reached typhoon (TY) intensity. The percentage of $58 \%$ is a little bit larger than normal (54\%; 24-year average for 1977-2000). Five out of the remainder attained severe tropical storm (STS) intensity and the others TS intensity (see Table 3.1).


Figure 3.2 Genesis points of 26 TCs in 2002 (dots) and number of accumulated TC geneses per $4^{\circ} \times 4^{\circ}$ grid box for 1951-2001 (contours).

The tropical cyclone season of this year began in the middle of J anuary with the development of TS Tapah (0201). However, tropical cydone formation was not active in the first half of the year. No tropical cyclone of TS intensity or higher formed for about two and a half months after TY Mitag (0202) formed in late February.

In late J une tropical cyclone formation became active and seven tropical cyclones of TS intensity or higher formed in total from late J une to mid-J uly. As the sub-tropical high pressure over the western North Pacific was weaker than normal in its western end east off China, while stronger than normal east off Honshu of J apan in J uly, six out of the above seven tropical cyclones hit or passed J apan. Tropical cyclone formation was inactive from late J uly to mid-August.

From mid to late August, tropical cyclone formation became active again and the monthly formation was normal in August. TY Rusa (0215) hit Republic of Korea and brought heavy damage to the country from lateAugust to early September.

Tropical cyclone formation was slightly below normal in September and nearly a half of normal in October. Among them TY Higos (0221) was one of the most intense typhoons ever approached the Kanto Region of J apan. Strong winds of Higos brought damage to the eastern and northern J apan.

Two and one typhoons were tracked in November and December, respectively. TY Pongsona (0226) passed near Guam and brought damage to the Island in December.

Other features of this tropical cycl one season were as follows:

- A mean formation latitude* of $15.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ was lower than the 30 -year (1971-2000) average of $16.2^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, while a mean formation longitude* of $145.9^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ was the easternmost on record since 1951. (see the distribution of their formation points in Figure 3.2.)
*M ean formation latitude (longitude) here is defined as arithmetic average of latitudes (longitudes) of formation points of all the tropical cyclones of TS intensity or higher in the year.
- $\quad$ There were no tropical cyclones of TS intensity or higher which passed the Philippines. This is the first time since 1951.

RSMC best track data for the tropical cyclones in 2002 and maps of their tracks are shown in Appendices 1 and 3, respectively. Appendix 4 indicates the monthly and annual frequency of tropical cyclones that attained TS intensity or higher in the western North Pacific and the South China Sea for 1951- 2002.

Table 3.1 List of the tropical cyclones which attained TS intensity or higher in 2002


## Chapter 4

## Verification of F orecasts in 2002

### 4.1 Operational Forecast

Operational forecasts of the tropical cyclones of TS intensity or higher in 2002 were verified with the best track data. Verified elements are 24 -hour and 48 -hour forecasts of the center position, central pressure and maximum sustained wind, and 72-hour forecasts of the center position. Position and intensity errors of operational forecasts for each tropical cydone in 2002 are indicated in Appendix 2.

### 4.1.1 Center Position

Figure 4.1 shows annual mean errors of 24-hour (1982 - 2002), 48-hour (1988-2002) and 72-hour (1997 - 2002) forecasts of center positions. Annual mean position errors in 2002 were 138 km for 24 -hour forecast, 239 km for 48-hour forecast and 363 km for 72 -hour forecast. Annual mean position errors for operational 24-, 48- and 72-hour track forecasts for 2002 were all


Figure 4.1 Annual means of position errors of 24-, 48and 72 -hour operational track forecasts. the smallest after each forecast started operationally.

Position errors of 24-, 48- and 72-hour track forecasts for each tropical cyclone in this season are summarized in Table 4.1. The forecast scores of TY Sinlaku, which took a steady west-northwestward course, were particularly well. TY Rammasun and TY Rusa, which moved northward over the East China Sea and made landfall on the Korean Peninsula, contributed to the scores to no small extent. On the other hand, The forecasts of TY Hagibis, Haishen etc., which recurved south of J apan, had rather large distance errors because of their rapid movement changes.

Position errors were also compared to those by the persistency (PER) method. The ratios of EO (position errors of operational forecasts) to EP (position errors of PER-method forecasts) in percentage are described in Table 4.1. EO/EP smaller (greater) than $100 \%$ means that operational forecasts are better (worse) than PER-method forecasts. Annual mean EO/EPs for the 24-, 48- and 72-hour forecasts in 2002 were $56 \%$ ( $70 \%$ in 2001), 43\% (50\%) and $42 \%$ ( $50 \%$ ), respectively. Operational 24 -, 48 - and 72 -hour forecasts were all better than PER-method forecasts in 2002.

Table 4.1 Mean position errors of 24-, 48- and 72-hour operational forecasts for each tropical cyclone in 2002

| Tropical Cyclone |  |  | 24-hour Forecast |  |  |  | 48-hour Forecast |  |  |  | 72-hour Forecast |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Position Error \& Number of Forecast |  |  |  | Oosition Error \& Number of Forecast |  |  |  | osition Error \& Number of Forecast |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Mean (km) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & (\mathrm{km}) \end{aligned}$ | Num. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EO/EP } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Mean (km) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & (\mathrm{km}) \end{aligned}$ | Num. | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{EO} / \mathrm{EP} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Mean (km) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & (\mathrm{km}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EO/EP } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| TS | 0201 | TAPAH |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| TY | 0202 | MITAG | 146 | 62 | 28 | 71 | 324 | 149 | 24 | 59 | 489 | 205 | 20 | 48 |
|  | 0203 | HAGIBIS | 246 | 100 | 17 | 57 | 393 | 175 | 13 | 33 | 870 | 202 | 9 | 43 |
| STS | S204 | NOGURI | 124 | 45 | 7 | 47 | 327 | 46 | 3 | 45 |  |  | 0 |  |
| TY | 0205 | RAMMASUN | 88 | 46 | 26 | 35 | 176 | 130 | 22 | 36 | 216 | 66 | 17 | 25 |
|  | 0206 | CHATAAN | 169 | 98 | 47 | 69 | 257 | 113 | 43 | 50 | 377 | 239 | 38 | 47 |
|  | 0207 | HALONG | 178 | 93 | 32 | 58 | 333 | 143 | 27 | 51 | 508 | 208 | 23 | 55 |
| STS | 0208 | NAKRI | 147 | 60 | 11 | 90 | 240 | 151 | 5 | 62 | 211 | 171 | 3 | 13 |
| TY | 0209 | FENGSHEN | 137 | 69 | 49 | 101 | 235 | 105 | 43 | 66 | 343 | 146 | 38 | 55 |
| TS | 0210 | KALMAEGI | - | - | 0 |  | - | - | 0 |  | - | - | 0 |  |
|  | 0211 | FUNG-WON | 126 | 78 | 22 | 40 | 239 | 156 | 17 | 29 | 406 | 162 | 13 | 34 |
| STS | 0212 | KAMMURI | 76 | 33 | 3 | 34 | - | - | 0 |  |  | - | 0 |  |
| TY | 0213 | PHANFONE | 117 | 58 | 32 | 42 | 197 | 107 | 28 | 30 | 224 | 142 | 24 | 21 |
| TS | 0214 | VONGFONG | 118 | 65 | 4 | 46 | - | - | 0 |  | - | - | 0 |  |
| TY | 0215 | RUSA | 93 | 57 | 32 | 58 | 176 | 97 | 28 | 49 | 317 | 145 | 24 | 63 |
| TY | 0216 | SINLAKU | 88 | 34 | 35 | 56 | 143 | 67 | 31 | 43 | 213 | 110 | 27 | 36 |
| TY | 0217 | ELE | 124 | 68 | 38 | 74 | 183 | 97 | 34 | 51 | 331 | 158 | 30 | 60 |
| TS | 0218 | HAGUPIT | - | - | 0 |  | - |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| TS | 0219 | CHANGMI | - | - | 0 |  | - | - | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| TS | 0220 | MEKKHALA | 51 | 45 | 7 | 61 | 101 | 62 | 3 | 11 | - | - | 0 |  |
| TY | 0221 | HIGOS | 138 | 104 | 17 | 35 | 270 | 189 | 13 | 25 | 551 | 370 | 8 | 33 |
| STS | 0222 | BAVI | 136 | 50 | 11 | 43 | 257 | 130 | 7 | 42 | 772 | 162 | 3 | 89 |
| STS | 0223 | MAYSAK | 183 | 69 | 6 | 24 | 196 | - | 1 |  | - | - | 0 |  |
| TY | 0224 | HUKO | 235 | 107 | 10 | 45 | 368 | 220 | 6 | 34 | 88 | 47 | 2 |  |
| TY | 0225 | HAISHEN | 213 | 75 | 13 | 58 | 476 | 255 | 9 | 52 | 557 | 110 | 5 | 26 |
| TY | 0226 | PONGSONA | 132 | 58 | 27 | 52 | 184 | 73 | 23 | 32 | 289 | 145 | 19 | 31 |
|  | Annual | an (Total) | 138 | 83 | 474 | 56 | 239 | 146 | 380 | 43 | 363 | 225 | 303 | 42 |

Figure 4.2 presents the histograms of 24 -, 48 - and 72 -hour forecast position errors. The ratio of 24 -hour forecast errors smaller than 150 km was $62 \%$ ( $57 \%$ in 2001), the ratio of 48 -hour forecast errors smaller than 300 km was $74 \%$ (67\%) and the ratio of 72 -hour forecast errors smaller than 450 km was $74 \%$ (68\%).


Figure 4.2 Histogram of 24-hour forecast position errors in 2002 (those for 48- and 72 hour forecasts are shown in the attached CD-ROM).

Table 4.2 presents mean hitting ratios and radii of $70 \%$ probability circles of operational forecasts for each tropical cyclone in 2002. The annual mean radius of $70 \%$ probability circles issued with 24 -hour position forecasts was 191 km , and their hitting ratio was $77 \%$ (in 365 out of 474 cases, a tropical cyclone actually located within the issued probability circle). As for 48 -hour forecasts, those are 331 km and $79 \%$ (in 300 out of 380 cases), respectively, and for 72 -hour forecasts, 478 km and $76 \%$ (in 230 out of 303 cases), respectively. These hitting ratios for 2002 were better than those for 2001.

Table 4.2 Mean hitting ratios (\%) and radii (km) of $70 \%$ probability circles issued for 24-, 48- and 72-hour operational forecasts for each tropical cyclone in 2002

| Tropical Cyclone |  |  | 24-hour Forecast |  |  | 48-hour Forecast |  |  | 72-hour Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ratio } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Num. | Radius (km) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ratio } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Num. | Radius (km) | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Ratio } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Num. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Radius } \\ (\mathrm{km}) \end{array}$ |
| TS | TAPAH | (0201) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| TY | MITAG | (0202) | 71 | 28 | 186 | 62 | 24 | 322 | 60 | 20 | 473 |
| TY | HAGIBIS | (0203) | 24 | 17 | 189 | 54 | 13 | 372 | 11 | 9 | 565 |
| STS | NOGURI | (0204) | 86 | 7 | 177 | 67 | 3 | 327 | - | 0 | - |
| TY | RAMMASUN | (0205) | 96 | 26 | 192 | 91 | 22 | 316 | 100 | 17 | 460 |
| TY | CHATAAN | (0206) | 66 | 47 | 190 | 74 | 43 | 330 | 71 | 38 | 481 |
| TY | HALONG | (0207) | 56 | 32 | 196 | 67 | 27 | 338 | 57 | 23 | 467 |
| STS | NAKRI | (0208) | 73 | 11 | 172 | 80 | 5 | 345 | 100 | 3 | 537 |
| TY | FENGSHEN | (0209) | 76 | 49 | 189 | 72 | 43 | 322 | 82 | 38 | 460 |
| TS | KALMAEGI | (0210) | - | 0 |  | - | 0 |  | - | 0 | - |
| TY | FUNG-WONG | (0211) | 82 | 22 | 193 | 82 | 17 | 318 | 69 | 13 | 517 |
| STS | KAMMURI | (0212) | 100 | 3 | 167 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| TY | PHANFONE | (0213) | 91 | 32 | 197 | 89 | 28 | 346 | 96 | 24 | 499 |
| TS | VONGFONG | (0214) | 75 | 4 | 185 | - | 0 |  | - | 0 | - |
| TY | RUSA | (0215) | 94 | 32 | 196 | 89 | 28 | 328 | 79 | 24 | 462 |
| TY | SINLAKU | (0216) | 100 | 35 | 190 | 100 | 31 | 323 | 100 | 27 | 473 |
| TY | ELE | (0217) | 84 | 38 | 185 | 94 | 34 | 323 | 87 | 30 | 468 |
| TS | HAGUPIT | (0218) | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| TS | CHANGMI | (0219) | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| TS | MEKKHALA | (0220) | 100 | 7 | 167 | 100 | 3 | 327 | - | 0 | - |
| TY | HIGOS | (0221) | 76 | 17 | 201 | 62 | 13 | 352 | 38 | 8 | 471 |
| STS | BAVI | (0222) | 100 | 11 | 204 | 57 | 7 | 318 | 0 | 3 | 457 |
| STS | MAYSAK | (0223) | 83 | 6 | 210 | 100 | 1 | 445 | - | 0 | - |
| TY | HUKO | (0224) | 30 | 10 | 196 | 67 | 6 | 411 | 100 | 2 | 602 |
| TY | HAISHEN | (0225) | 38 | 13 | 192 | 33 | 9 | 321 | 0 | 5 | 487 |
| TY | PONGSONA | (0226) | 85 | 27 | 194 | 96 | 23 | 330 | 89 | 19 | 473 |
| Annual Mean (Total) |  |  | 77 | 474 | 191 | 79 | 380 | 331 | 76 | 303 | 478 |

### 4.1.2 Central Pressure and Maximum Wind Speed

Table 4.3 gives root mean square errors (RMSEs) of 24- and 48-hour intensity forecasts for each tropical cyclone in 2002. Annual mean RMSEs of the central pressure and the maximum wind speed for 24 -hour forecasts were 10.8 hPa ( 10.9 hPa in 2001 ) and $5.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ( 5.2 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ in 2001), respectively, while those for 48 -hour forecasts were 15.3 hPa ( 15.6 hPa in 2001) and $7.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}(6.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ in 2001), respectively.

Figure 4.3 presents the histogram of maximum wind speed errors for 24 - and 48 -hour forecasts. The ratio of absolute errors smaller than $3.75 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for 24 -hour forecasts was $59 \%$
(54\% in 2001) and 69\% of total 48-hour forecasts had errors smaller than $6.25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ( $70 \%$ in 2001). The overall performance of intensity forecasts in 2002 was almost same as that in 2001. However, relatively large errors were seen in rapid developing/decaying cases such as TY Higos, which made a rapid decay in October. (seeAppendix 2 for individual cases).

Table 4.3 Mean intensity errors of 24- and 48-hour operational forecasts for each tropical cyclone in 2002.

| Tropical Cyclone |  |  | 24-hour Forecast |  |  |  |  | 48-hour Forecast |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Central Pressure Max. Wind |  |  |  |  | Central Pressure Max. Wind |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Mean (hPa) | RMSE $(\mathrm{hPa})$ | Mean $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RMSE} \\ (\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | Num. | Mean $(\mathrm{hPa})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RMSE } \\ & (\mathrm{hPa}) \end{aligned}$ | Mean $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RMSE} \\ (\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | Num. |
| TS | TAPAH | (0201) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| TY | MITAG | (0202) | 6.0 | 14.4 | -2.0 | 5.7 | 28 | 11.4 | 24.4 | -4.0 | 10.1 | 24 |
| TY | HAGIBIS | (0203) | 5.8 | 14.3 | -2.7 | 6.8 | 17 | 9.2 | 21.8 | -4.4 | 10.0 | 13 |
| STS | NOGURI | (0204) | 2.7 | 13.4 | -1.1 | 7.3 | 7 | 4.0 | 8.0 | -0.9 | 4.5 | 3 |
| TY | RAMMASUN | (0205) | -4.4 | 8.5 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 26 | -5.7 | 9.5 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 22 |
| TY | CHATAAN | (0206) | -4.6 | 12.5 | 2.5 | 6.2 | 47 | -5.9 | 15.7 | 3.7 | 7.8 | 43 |
| TY | HALONG | (0207) | 1.4 | 6.2 | -0.6 | 2.9 | 32 | -0.4 | 8.6 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 27 |
| STS | NAKRI | (0208) | 2.6 | 3.8 | -2.3 | 3.3 | 11 | 1.6 | 2.8 | -1.0 | 1.6 | 5 |
| TY | FENGSHEN | (0209) | 0.4 | 13.0 | 0.2 | 5.8 | 49 | -5.0 | 20.4 | 2.8 | 8.8 | 43 |
| TS | KALMAEGI | (0210) | - | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| TY | FUNG-WONG | (0211) | 0.6 | 9.7 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 22 | 1.8 | 11.9 | 0.9 | 5.7 | 17 |
| STS | KAMMURI | (0212) | 6.3 | 7.6 | -3.4 | 4.7 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| TY | PHANFONE | (0213) | -2.4 | 8.0 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 32 | -4.8 | 9.9 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 28 |
| TS | VONGFONG | (0214) | -9.2 | 9.6 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| TY | RUSA | (0215) | -2.8 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 32 | -4.5 | 9.1 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 28 |
| TY | SINLAKU | (0216) | 0.5 | 9.3 | -0.6 | 4.4 | 35 | 3.5 | 13.7 | -2.7 | 6.2 | 31 |
| TY | ELE | (0217) | -0.5 | 8.0 | 0.1 | 4.4 | 38 | -5.3 | 11.1 | 2.8 | 5.3 | 34 |
| TS | HAGUPIT | (0218) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| TS | CHANGMI | (0219) | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| TS | MEKKHALA | (0220) | -3.1 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 7 | -2.7 | 3.3 | -0.9 | 1.5 | 3 |
| TY | HIGOS | (0221) | 5.2 | 15.5 | -1.4 | 6.4 | 17 | 8.5 | 26.9 | -2.2 | 11.1 | 13 |
| STS | BAVI | (0222) | -11.4 | 11.8 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 11 | -20.7 | 21.3 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 7 |
| STS | MAYSAK | (0223) | 4.2 | 6.9 | -3.0 | 4.3 | 6 | -4.0 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1 |
| TY | HUKO | (0224) | -19.6 | 20.3 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 10 | -21.8 | 22.1 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 6 |
| TY | HAISHEN | (0225) | -3.5 | 13.4 | 0.2 | 6.7 | 13 | -4.4 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 9 |
| TY | PONGSONA | (0226) | 3.4 | 6.6 | -1.9 | 3.4 | 27 | 7.6 | 9.8 | -3.8 | 4.9 | 23 |
| Annual Mean (Total) |  |  | -0.6 | 10.8 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 474 | -1.4 | 15.3 | 0.9 | 7.0 | 380 |

Error (m/s)



Figure 4.3 Histograms of maximum wind speed errors for 24-and 48-hour forecasts in 2002 (those of central pressure errors for 24- and 48hour forecasts are included in the attached CD-ROM)

### 4.2 TYM and GSM Predictions

J MA implemented the following changes to the J MA Typhoon M odel (TYM) in 2002:

- An upper bound ( 800 km ) was set to the radius of the tropical cyd one bogusing area in May 2002.
- The lower minimum value of the scale length, which characterizes the horizontal size of the synthesized initial tropical cyclone bogus vortex, was increased in order to ameliorate the dynamical balance in the initial field for small and intense tropical cyclones in J uly 2002.

TYM and GSM provide primary information for forecasters for the RSMC Tokyo Typhoon Center to make operational track and intensity forecasts. Track predictions by TYM and GSM up to 84 and 90 hours, respectively, were verified with the best track data and predictions by the persistency (PER) method*. 30-hour, 54-hour and 78-hour intensity predictions by TYM and GSM were also verified with these data.

Note: The PER-method assumes that a tropical cyclone holds the same movement throughout the forecast period and forecasts are based upon the linear extrapolation of the latest 6 -hour track of a tropical cyclone. Prediction errors by the PER-method are used to evaluate the relative performance of model predictions.

### 4.2.1 TYM Prediction

1) Center Position

Annual mean position errors of TYM predictions from 1996 are indicated in Figure 4.4.

Annual mean position errors for 30-hour*, 54-hour* and 78-hour* predictions in 2002 were 166km (185km in 2001), 286km (315km) and $424 \mathrm{~km}(468 \mathrm{~km})$, respectively. The overall performance of the TYM track prediction in 2002 was better than the previous year. Mean position errors of 18-, $30-$, 42-, $54-$, $66-$ and 78 -hour predictions for each tropical cyclone are also shown in Table 4.4.

Note: 30-, 54- and 78-hour predictions by TYM and GSM


Figure 4.4 TYM annual mean position errors from 1996 are the primary information for forecasters in preparing 24-, 48- and 72-hour operational forecasts, respectively.

Table 4.4 Mean position errors (km) of TYM for each tropical cyclone in 2002. Number of samples is given in parentheses.

| Tropic | Cyclone | $\mathrm{T}=18$ |  | $\mathrm{T}=30$ |  | $\mathrm{T}=42$ |  | $\mathrm{T}=54$ |  | $\mathrm{T}=66$ |  | T=78 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TS | 0201 TAPAH | 239.2 | (6) | 423.3 | (4) | 614.3 | (2) |  | (-) | - | (-) | - | (-) |
| TY | 0202 MITAG | 96.1 | (35) | 156.3 | (33) | 228.6 | (31) | 309.3 | (29) | 370.9 | (27) | 421.3 | (25) |
| TY | 0203 HAGIBIS | 200.5 | (2) | 318.0 | (20) | 419.5 | (18) | 540.8 | (16) | 651.2 | (13) | 807.0 | (11) |
| STS | 0204 NOGURI | 109.3 | (10) | 170.2 | (8) | 284.0 | (7) | 379.1 | (5) | 589.4 | (3) | 902.8 | (1) |
| TY | 0205 RAMMASUN | 84.9 | (30) | 110.4 | (28) | 144.3 | (26) | 203.4 | (24) | 217.1 | (22) | 245.5 | (20) |
| TY | 0206 CHATAAN | 135.6 | (46) | 178.6 | (44) | 221.6 | (42) | 291.1 | (40) | 373.3 | (38) | 474.0 | (36) |
| TY | 0207 HALONG | 159.1 | (37) | 278.1 | (35) | 409.9 | (33) | 506.5 | (31) | 595.5 | (29) | 662.1 | (27) |
| STS | 0208 NAKRI | 67.1 | (6) | 156.2 | (4) | 209.7 | (2) |  | (-) | - | (-) | - | (-) |
| TY | 0209 FENGSHEN | 101.8 | (50) | 154.9 | (48) | 197.3 | (46) | 251.4 | (44) | 324.8 | (42) | 401.5 | (40) |
| TS | 0210 KALMAEGI | - | (-) |  | (-) |  | (-) |  | (-) |  | (-) | - | (-) |
| TY | 0211 FUNG-WONG | 81.2 | (22) | 147.1 | (20) | 246.2 | (18) | 288.5 | (16) | 305.6 | (14) | 360.3 | (12) |
| STS | 0212 KAMMURI | 91.2 | (8) | 102.4 | (6) | 166.5 | (4) | 417.4 | (2) |  | (-) |  | (-) |
| TY | 0213 PHANFONE | 86.8 | (34) | 125.6 | (32) | 142.4 | (30) | 195.8 | (28) | 212.5 | (26) | 258.5 | (24) |
| TS | 0214 VONGFONG | 117.4 | (16) | 149.4 | (14) | 209.0 | (12) | 283.5 | (10) | 336.1 | (8) | 394.3 | (6) |
| TY | 0215 RUSA | 86.2 | (35) | 117.9 | (33) | 161.9 | (31) | 215.5 | (29) | 274.5 | (27) | 360.9 | (25) |
| TY | 0216 SINLAKU | 76.5 | (38) | 123.9 | (36) | 169.7 | (34) | 224.1 | (32) | 282.6 | (30) | 320.6 | (28) |
| TY | 0217 ELE | 89.3 | (31) | 127.4 | (29) | 175.5 | (27) | 236.6 | (25) | 320.8 | (23) | 425.8 | (21) |
| TS | 0218 HAGUPIT | 111.1 | (5) | 22.0 | (3) | 331.2 | (1) |  | (-) |  | (-) |  | (-) |
| TS | 0219 CHANGM | 60.2 | (1) |  | (-) |  | (-) | - | (-) | - | (-) |  | (-) |
| TS | 0220 MEKKHALA | 82.2 | (9) | 112.0 | (7) | 140.8 | (5) | 157.0 | (3) | 196.8 | (1) | - | (-) |
| TY | 0221 HIGOS | 91.1 | (18) | 149.2 | (16) | 185.9 | (14) | 238.0 | (12) | 336.3 | (10) | 513.2 | (8) |
| STS | 0222 BAVI | 127.4 | (16) | 160.8 | (14) | 178.2 | (12) | 258.8 | (10) | 376.4 | (8) | 718.4 | (6) |
| STS | 0223 MAYSAK | 152.6 | (7) | 186.6 | (5) | 192.1 | (3) | 280.4 | (1) |  | (-) | - | (-) |
| STS | 0224 HUKO | 140.9 | (12) | 233.9 | (10) | 352.7 | (8) | 426.4 | (6) | 377.2 | (4) | 191.3 | (2) |
| TY | 0225 HAISHEN | 97.6 | (16) | 196.0 | (14) | 300.8 | (12) | 426.2 | (10) | 519.0 | (8) | 517.3 | (6) |
| TY | 0226 PONGSONA | 100.8 | (30) | 152.9 | (28) | 181.0 | (26) | 199.3 | (24) | 278.5 | (22) | 346.6 | (20) |
| Annual Mean |  | 109.0 (540) |  | 165.8 (491) |  | 222.6 (444) |  | 286.4 (397) |  | 350.0 (355) |  | 424.2 (318) |  |

Table 4.5 gives TYM's relative performance compared to the PER-method. In this comparison, life stages of tropical cyclones were classified into three categories, "Before", "During" and "After" recurvature. Each stage is defined with the direction of movement of each tropical cyclone at each prediction time concerned. The table indicates that TYM outperformed the PER-method throughout the whole forecast period beyond 18 hours from the initial time and improvement rates were roughly $35 \%$ for 18 -hour, $50 \%$ for 30 -hour and $55 \%$ for 42 -hour to 78 -hour predictions. These improvement rates in 2002 were greater than those in 2001 by about 10 to $15 \%$. Looking at the results of respective stages, improvement rates were relatively higher in "After" stage in which position errors were larger compared with other two stages.

Figure 4.5 (in the attached CD-ROM) presents histograms of the position errors of 30-, 54 - and 78 -hour predictions of TYM. The ratio of 30 -hour prediction errors smaller than 150 km was $55 \%$ ( $40 \%$ in 2001), the ratio of 54 -hour prediction errors smaller than 300 km was $60 \%$ ( $58 \%$ ) and the ratio of 78 -hour prediction errors smaller than 450 km was $61 \%$ (56\%).

Table 4.5 Mean position errors (km) of TYM and PER predictions for the tropical cyclones in 2002 in each stage of motion. Number of samples is given in parentheses.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { TIME } \\ \text { (mov } \end{gathered}$ | MODEL <br> direction) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \left(180^{\circ} \sim 320^{\circ}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \left(320^{\circ} \sim 10^{\circ}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | After $\left(10^{\circ} \sim 180^{\circ}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \left(0^{\circ} \sim 360^{\circ}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}=18$ | TYM | 112.9 (287) | 96.9 (127) | 112.5 (126) | 109.0 (540) |
|  | PER | 135.2 (287) | 173.1 (127) | 261.6 (126) | 173.6 (540) |
|  | IMPROV | 16.5 \% | 44.0 \% | 57.0 \% | 37.2 \% |
| $\mathrm{T}=30$ | TYM | 176.7 (260) | 137.0 (115) | 169.8 (116) | 165.8 (491) |
|  | PER | 231.8 (260) | 327.9 (115) | 518.5 (116) | 322.1 (491) |
|  | IMPROV | 23.7 \% | 58.2 \% | 67.3 \% | 48.5 \% |
| $\mathrm{T}=42$ | TYM | 224.1 (233) | 204.5 (101) | 235.9 (110) | 222.6 (444) |
|  | PER | 336.5 (233) | 492.8 (101) | 793.9 (110) | 485.4 (444) |
|  | IMPROV | 33.4 \% | 58.5 \% | 70.3 \% | 54.1 \% |
| $\mathrm{T}=54$ | TYM | 279.2 (204) | 255.6 (92) | 329.0 (101) | 286.4 (397) |
|  | PER | 469.2 (204) | 575.0 (92) | 1066.8 (101) | 645.7 (397) |
|  | IMPROV | 40.5 \% | 55.5 \% | 69.2 \% | 55.6 \% |
| $\mathrm{T}=66$ | TYM | 335.8 (181) | 308.0 (81) | 414.0 (93) | 350.0 (355) |
|  | PER | 574.1 (181) | 727.5 (81) | 1307.5 (93) | 801.2 (355) |
|  | IMPROV | 41.5 \% | 57.7 \% | 68.3 \% | 56.3 \% |
| $\mathrm{T}=78$ | TYM | 399.1 (159) | 376.1 (73) | 511.4 (86) | 424.2 (318) |
|  | PER | 726.9 (159) | 817.3 (73) | 1086.8 (86) | 969.1 (318) |
|  | IMPROV | 45.1 \% | 54.0 \% | 66.9 \% | 56.2 \% |

## 2) Central Pressure and Maximum Wind Speed

Mean errors of 30 - and 54 -hour central pressure predictions by TYM were +3.9 hPa $(+1.3 \mathrm{hPa}$ in 2001 ) and $+2.1 \mathrm{hPa}(+0.7 \mathrm{hPa})$, respectively in 2002 . Their root mean square errors (RMSEs) were 15.6 hPa for 30 -hour predictions ( 13.5 hPa in 2001), and 17.0 hPa for 54 -hour predictions ( 15.1 hPa ). Meanwhile the bias for 30 -hour maximum wind speed predictions was $-2.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}(-0.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ in 2001$)$ with a RMSE of $7.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}(6.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$, and the bias for 54 -hour ones was $-2.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}(-1.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ with a RMSE of $7.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}(7.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$.

Figure 4.6 shows histograms of the errors of 30 -hour central pressure and maximum wind speed predictions. About $43 \%$ ( $47 \%$ in 2001) of the central pressure predictions had errors with absolute values less than 7.5 hPa , while $37 \%$ ( $43 \%$ ) of the maximum wind speed predictions with absolute values less than $3.75 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. As for 54 -hour predictions, these ratios were $57 \%$ ( $68 \%$ ) with absolute values less than 12.5 hPa and $62 \%$ ( $64 \%$ ) with absolute values less than $6.25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively (Figures are shown in the attached CD-ROM).

Although J MA implemented changes to TYM, the overall performance of the Model in central pressure and maximum wind speed forecasts for 2002 was worse than that for 2001.


30-hour TYM Predictions
for Central Pressure

Figure 4.6 Error distribution of TYM 30-hour intensity predictions (left; for central pressure, right; for maximum wind speed, those for 54 - and 78-hour predictions are included in the attached CD-ROM).

### 4.2.2 GSM Prediction

## 1) Center Position

GSM annual mean position errors from 1996 are presented in Figure 4.7. In 2001, a total of 278 predictions were made by GSM and the errors for 30-hour, 54-hour and 78-hour predictions were 156 km (172km in 2001), 242km (283km) and $353 \mathrm{~km}(425 \mathrm{~km})$, respectively. The overall performance of GSM was greatly better than that in 2001. The position errors of GSM were smaller than those of TYM throughout the


Figure 4.7 GSM annual mean position errors from 1996. forecast period except 18-hour forecast. Mean position errors of the 18-, 30-, 42-, 54-, 66 - and 78 -hour predictions for each tropical cyclone are given in Table 4.6.

Table 4.6 Mean position errors (km) of GSM for each tropical cyclone in 2002

| Tropical Cyclone |  | $\mathrm{T}=18$ |  | $\mathrm{T}=30$ |  | $\mathrm{T}=42$ |  | $\mathrm{T}=54$ |  | $\mathrm{T}=66$ |  | $\mathrm{T}=78$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TS | 0201 TAPAH | 271.4 | (2) | 416.5 | (1) |  | (-) | - | (-) | - | (-) | - | (-) |
| TY | 0202 MITAG | 112.0 | (17) | 163.7 | (16) | 234.8 | (15) | 293.9 | (14) | 362.6 | (13) | 445.7 | (12) |
| TY | 0203 HAGIBIS | 198.5 | (11) | 292.8 | (10) | 364.9 | (9) | 437.3 | (8) | 521.0 | (7) | 648.2 | (6) |
| STS | 0204 NOGURI | 62.5 | (4) | 98.6 | (4) | 63.8 | (2) | 119.5 | (2) | - | (-) |  | (-) |
| TY | 0205 RAMMASUN | 55.3 | (15) | 76.5 | (14) | 114.8 | (13) | 188.5 | (12) | 206.8 | (11) | 212.5 | (10) |
| TY | 0206 CHATAAN | 149.1 | (23) | 193.8 | (22) | 223.4 | (21) | 270.6 | (20) | 311.7 | (19) | 353.8 | (18) |
| TY | 0207 HALONG | 177.6 | (19) | 274.8 | (18) | 349.6 | (17) | 426.8 | (15) | 543.7 | (13) | 672.0 | (12) |
| STS | 0208 NAKRI | 85.6 | (8) | 112.1 | (6) | 125.6 | (5) | 122.4 | (4) | 175.4 | (3) | 333.0 | (3) |
| TY | 0209 FENGSHEN | 123.1 | (25) | 154.7 | (24) | 189.1 | (23) | 218.5 | (22) | 250.4 | (21) | 291.0 | (20) |
| TS | 0210 KALMAEGI | 59.5 | (1) |  | (-) |  | (-) |  | (-) |  | (-) |  | (-) |
| TY | 0211 FUNG-WONG | 88.5 | (11) | 136.6 | (10) | 195.3 | (9) | 201.2 | (8) | 237.0 | (4) | 331.3 | (2) |
| STS | 0212 KAMMURI | 100.9 | (4) | 92.2 | (3) | 111.1 | (2) | 126.0 | (1) | - | (-) | - | (-) |
| TY | 0213 PHANFONE | 75.1 | (17) | 113.0 | (16) | 175.1 | (15) | 217.8 | (14) | 251.1 | (13) | 288.7 | (12) |
| TS | 0214 VONGFONG | 103.8 | (8) | 114.4 | (7) | 143.7 | (6) | 217.1 | (5) | 271.8 | (4) | 333.5 | (3) |
| TY | 0215 RUSA | 81.0 | (18) | 98.9 | (17) | 131.0 | (16) | 172.4 | (15) | 220.6 | (14) | 276.5 | (13) |
| TY | 0216 SINLAKU | 62.4 | (19) | 85.1 | (18) | 116.3 | (17) | 139.8 | (16) | 173.2 | (15) | 216.0 | (14) |
| TY | 0217 ELE | 128.0 | (20) | 154.0 | (19) | 151.9 | (18) | 165.6 | (17) | 207.3 | (16) | 255.5 | (15) |
| TS | 0218 HAGUPIT | 54.7 | (2) | 116.0 | (1) |  | (-) |  |  | - | (-) | - | $(-)$ |
| TS | 0219 CHANGMI | 116.7 | (1) |  | (-) | - | (-) | - | (-) | - | (-) |  | (-) |
| TS | 0220 MEKKHALA | 40.4 | (4) | 88.7 | (3) | 46.3 | (2) | 45.6 | (1) | - | (-) | - | (-) |
| TY | 0221 HIGOS | 97.7 | (9) | 158.7 | (8) | 212.7 | (7) | 238.0 | (6) | 318.1 | (5) | 517.2 | (4) |
| STS | 0222 BAVI | 129.3 | (8) | 161.8 | (7) | 161.0 | (6) | 239.4 | (5) | 377.8 | (4) | 610.8 | (3) |
| STS | 0223 MAYSAK | 149.5 | (3) | 133.8 | (1) |  | (-) |  | (-) |  | (-) |  | (-) |
| STS | 0224 HUKO | 145.1 | (6) | 290.6 | (5) | 354.7 | (4) | 438.3 | (3) | 386.1 | (2) | 164.0 | (1) |
| TY | 0225 HAISHEN | 137.1 | (8) | 238.8 | (7) | 323.0 | (6) | 486.0 | (5) | 585.5 | (4) | 634.2 | (3) |
| TY | 0226 PONGSONA | 91.0 | (15) | 126.8 | (14) | 172.5 | (13) | 199.2 | (12) | 253.6 | (11) | 272.7 | (10) |
|  | nual Mean | 111.9 | 278) | 156.3 | (251) | 196.7 | (226) | 241.7 | (205) | 294.5 | (179) | 353.3 | (161) |

Table 4.7 gives GSM's relative performance compared to the PER-method. I mprovement rates were roughly $35 \%$ ( $25 \%$ in 2001) for 18 -hour, $50 \%$ ( $40 \%$ ) for 30 -hour, and $60 \%$ (50\%) for 54 -hour to 78 -hour predictions. These improvement rates in 2002 were greater than those in 2001 by 10\%. The percentage is relatively high in "After" stage and low in "Before" stage.

Table 4.7 Mean position errors (km) of GSM and PER predictions for the tropical cyclones in 2002 in each stage of motion.


Figure 4.8 (in the attached CD-ROM) presents histograms of the position errors of 30-, 54 - and 78 -hour predictions of GSM. The ratio of 30 -hour prediction errors smaller than 150 km was $58 \%$ ( $49 \%$ in 2001), the ratio of 54 -hour prediction errors smaller than 300 km was $74 \%$ ( $67 \%$ ) and the ratio of 78 -hour prediction errors smaller than 450 km was $75 \%$ (68\%).

## 2) Central Pressure and Maximum Wind Speed

Figure 4.9 shows histograms of central pressure errors and the maximum wind speed errors of 30 -hour predictions of GSM. The histograms show that in almost all cases GSM underestimated the intensity of tropical cyclones in its 30 -hour predictions and has a considerable positive bias in the central pressure prediction.


## TS TAPAH (0201)

Tapah formed as a tropical depression (TD) north of the Palau Islands at OOUTC 10 J anuary 2002. Moving northwestward, it developed into a tropical storm (TS) east of Samar Island at OOUTC 12 J anuary and attained the peak intensity north of the same island at 12UTC on the same day. Tapah then weakened and was downgraded into a TD at O0UTC 13 J anuary east of Luzon Island, where it turned to the north around 12UTC on the same day. It dissipated near the eastern coasts of the same island at 00UTC 14 J anuary.



## TY MITAG (0202)

Mitag formed as a tropical depression southwest of Pohnpei Island at 12UTC 26 February. Moving northwest then westward, it developed into a tropical storm (TS) over the Truk Islands at 12UTC 28 February. On the westward track it became a severe tropical storm (STS) west of the Islands at 06UTC on the following day. Slightly turning to the west-northwest, it intensified into a typhoon (TY) over the same waters at 06UTC 2 March. After taking the west-northwest track for a few days with further development, Mitag reached its peak intensity east of the Philippines at 06UTC 5 March, where it started recurving. Holding the peak intensity for about one day on a northward track, it then turned to the northeast with gradual weakening over the same waters on 6 March . After it was downgraded into a STS at 12UTC 7 March, it began to move southward and rapidly weakened to a TS 12 hours later. Moving to the southwest, it became a tropical depression at 12UTC 8 March and dissipated at 06UTC on the following day over the same waters.

|  | Time <br> (UTC) | Center <br> Lat (N) | Position <br> Lon (E) | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |  | Time <br> (UTC) | Center <br> Lat (N) | Position <br> Lon (E) | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY MITAG (0202) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb | 26/12 | 5.8 | 155.7 | 1006 | - | TD | Mar | 04/00 | 10.7 | 133.2 | 945 | 80 | TY |
|  | 26/18 | 6.0 | 155.5 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 04/06 | 11.1 | 132.5 | 940 | 85 | TY |
|  | 27/00 | 6.2 | 155.3 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 04/12 | 11.9 | 132.0 | 940 | 85 | TY |
|  | 27/06 | 6.5 | 155.0 | 1002 | - | TD |  | 04/18 | 12.5 | 131.2 | 940 | 85 | TY |
|  | 27/12 | 6.7 | 154.6 | 1002 | - | TD |  | 05/00 | 13.1 | 130.6 | 940 | 90 | TY |
|  | 27/18 | 6.8 | 153.9 | 1000 | - |  |  | 05/06 | 13.7 | 130.1 | 930 | 95 | TY |
|  | 28/00 | 7.0 | 153.5 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 05/12 | 14.3 | 129.9 | 930 | 95 | TY |
|  | 28/06 | 7.1 | 152.2 | 998 | - | TD |  | 05/18 | 14.9 | 130.0 | 930 | 95 | TY |
|  | 28/12 | 7.1 | 151.2 | 998 | 35 | TS |  | 06/00 | 15.5 | 130.1 | 930 | 95 | TY |
|  | 28/18 | 7.1 | 150.3 | 996 | 40 | TS |  | 06/06 | 16.1 | 130.4 | 935 | 95 | TY |
| Mar | 01/00 | 6.7 | 149.0 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 06/12 | 16.7 | 131.0 | 940 | 85 | TY |
|  | 01/06 | 6.5 | 147.9 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 06/18 | 17.2 | 131.6 | 950 | 80 | TY |
|  | 01/12 | 6.6 | 147.0 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 07/00 | 17.6 | 132.4 | 955 | 75 | TY |
|  | 01/18 | 6.7 | 145.6 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 07/06 | 18.1 | 133.6 | 960 | 70 | TY |
|  | 02/00 | 7.1 | 144.2 | 975 | 60 | STS |  | 07/12 | 18.3 | 134.6 | 970 | 60 | STS |
|  | 02/06 | 7.7 | 142.7 | 970 | 65 | TY |  | 07/18 | 18.3 | 135.1 | 980 | 50 | STS |
|  | 02/12 | 8.1 | 141.0 | 965 | 70 | TY |  | 08/00 | 17.7 | 135.4 | 990 | 45 | TS |
|  | 02/18 | 8.5 | 139.4 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 08/06 | 17.2 | 135.3 | 996 | 40 | TS |
|  | 03/00 | 8.8 | 137.9 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 08/12 | 16.7 | 134.8 | 1004 | - | TD |
|  | 03/06 | 9.5 | 136.8 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 08/18 | 16.2 | 133.8 | 1012 | - | TD |
|  | 03/12 | 10.2 | 135.3 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 09/00 | 15.4 | 132.9 | 1012 | - | TD |
|  | 03/18 | 10.6 | 134.2 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 09/06 |  |  |  |  | Dissip |



## TY HAGIBIS (0203)

Hagibis formed as a tropical depression (TD) about 500km southwest of Truk Island at 0000UTC 14 May 2002. The TD moved toward the northwest and reached a tropical storm (TS) intensity at 1200 UTC 16 May about 200km southwest of Guam Island. Moving west-northwest, it reached a severe tropical storm (STS) at 0000UTC 17 May about 450km west of the same island. Then it turned to the north and reached a typhoon (TY) intensity at 0000UTC 18 May about 600km west-northwest of Saipan. Hagibis reached its peak intensity with maximum sustained wind of 95kt northwest of the same island at 1200UTC 19 May, when it started acceleration toward northeast. Weakening gradually, it reached far east of J apan, where it was downgraded to the STS intensity at 0600UTC 21 May and then became an extratropical cyclone at 1800UTC on the same day. It then moved eastward along the 40。 N latitudinal circle and dissipated south of the Aleutian Islands.

| Date/Time <br> (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind (kt) | Grade | Date/Time <br> (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY HAGIBIS (0203) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May | 14/00 | 3.5 | 149.0 | 1008 | - | TD | May | 18/12 | 16.8 | 139.6 | 960 | 75 | TY |
|  | 14/06 | 4.0 | 149.5 | 1006 | - |  |  | 18/18 | 17.2 | 140.0 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 14/12 | 5.0 | 149.0 | 1006 | - | TD |  | 19/00 | 17.7 | 140.5 | 950 | 85 | TY |
|  | 14/18 | 5.5 | 148.5 | 1006 | - | TD |  | 19/06 | 18.4 | 141.2 | 940 | 90 | TY |
|  | 15/00 | 5.8 | 148.6 | 1006 | - | TD |  | 19/12 | 19.3 | 142.1 | 935 | 95 | TY |
|  | 15/06 | 7.3 | 149.4 | 1006 | - |  |  | 19/18 | 20.8 | 143.2 | 935 | 95 | TY |
|  | 15/12 | 8.0 | 147.7 | 1006 | - | TD |  | 20/00 | 22.5 | 144.6 | 945 | 85 | TY |
|  | 15/18 | 8.9 | 146.7 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 20/06 | 24.7 | 146.5 | 955 | 75 | TY |
|  | 16/00 | 9.9 | 145.8 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 20/12 | 27.0 | 149.3 | 960 | 70 | TY |
|  | 16/06 | 11.5 | 144.7 | 1004 | 5 |  |  | 20/18 | 29.8 | 152.6 | 965 | 65 | TY |
|  | 16/12 | 12.3 | 143.1 | 1000 | 35 | TS |  | 21/00 | 32.9 | 155.9 | 970 | 65 | TY |
|  | 16/18 | 12.9 | 141.7 | 996 | 40 | TS |  | 21/06 | 35.4 | 161.0 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 17/00 | 13.0 | 140.5 | 990 | 50 | STS |  | 21/12 | 37.6 | 164.4 | 985 | 50 | STS |
|  | 17/06 | 13.3 | 140.0 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 21/18 | 38.3 | 169.1 | 990 | - | L |
|  | 17/12 | 13.9 | 139.6 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 22/00 | 38.6 | 173.7 | 996 | - | L |
|  | 17/18 | 14.5 | 139.4 | 975 | 60 | STS |  | 22/06 | 39.8 | 179.4 | 996 | - | L |
|  | 18/00 | 15.3 | 139.4 | 970 | 65 | TY |  | 22/12 |  |  |  |  | Dissip |
|  | 18/06 | 16.1 | 139.4 | 965 | 70 | TY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## STS NOGURI (0204)

A tropical depression (TD) formed east of Hainan Island at 00UTC 04 J une 2002. Moving eastward, it developed into a tropical storm (TS) Noguri near the Batan Islands at 06UTC 08 J une and changed its direction to the north-northeast at 18UTC on the same day. Noguri was upgraded to a severe tropical storm south of Miyako-jima at 03UTC 09 J une, reached its peak intensity at 06UTC on the same day, and passed the island at around half past 17UTC on that day. It was downgraded to TS intensity on the following day and weakened to a TD at 21UTC 10 J une soon after moving northeastward near east off the Osumi Peninsula. It passed Shikoku and dissipated over the Kii Peninsula at 12UTC 11 $J$ une.

| Date/Time <br> (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade | Date/Time $\qquad$ <br> (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STS NOGURI (0204) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun | 04/00 | 20.2 | 111.1 | 1002 |  | TD | Jun | 09/00 | 22.6 | 124.7 | 990 | 45 | TS |
|  | 04/06 | 19.8 | 111.6 | 1002 | - |  |  | 09/03 | 23.0 | 124.7 | 985 | 50 | STS |
|  | 04/12 | 19.5 | 112.0 | 1002 | - | TD |  | 09/06 | 23.3 | 124.8 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 04/18 | 19.0 | 112.5 | 1002 | - | TD |  | 09/09 | 23.6 | 124.9 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 05/00 | 19.5 | 112.8 | 1002 | - |  |  | 09/12 | 23.9 | 125.0 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 05/06 | 20.0 | 113.5 | 1002 | - | TD |  | 09/15 | 24.3 | 125.1 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 05/12 | 20.0 | 113.8 | 1002 | - | TD |  | 09/17 | 24.6 | 125.3 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 05/18 | 20.0 | 114.5 | 1002 | - | TD |  | 09/18 | 24.9 | 125.5 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 06/00 | 19.5 | 114.8 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 09/21 | 25.4 | 125.7 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 06/06 | 19.5 | 116.0 | 1000 | - |  |  | 10/00 | 25.8 | 126.1 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 06/12 | 19.5 | 117.0 | 1000 | - |  |  | 10/03 | 26.5 | 126.4 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 06/18 | 19.9 | 117.5 | 1000 | - |  |  | 10/06 | 27.0 | 126.8 | 980 | 55 | STS |
|  | 07/00 | 20.3 | 118.8 | 1000 | - |  |  | 10/09 | 27.7 | 127.6 | 980 | 55 | STS |
|  | 07/06 | 20.5 | 119.5 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 10/12 | 28.4 | 128.4 | 985 | 50 | STS |
|  | 07/12 | 20.5 | 120.4 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 10/15 | 29.1 | 129.2 | 985 | 50 | STS |
|  | 07/18 | 20.6 | 121.0 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 10/18 | 30.5 | 130.0 | 990 | 40 | TS |
|  | 08/00 | 20.5 | 122.2 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 10/21 | 31.6 | 131.7 | 996 | - | TD |
|  | 08/06 | 21.2 | 122.9 | 998 | 35 | TS |  | 11/00 | 32.8 | 133.1 | 998 | - | TD |
|  | 08/12 | 21.3 | 123.7 | 996 | 40 | TS |  | 11/06 | 34.4 | 135.8 | 999 | - | TD |
|  | 08/18 | 21.5 | 124.3 | 992 | 40 | TS |  | 11/12 |  |  |  |  | Dissip |
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## TY RAMMASUN (0205)

Rammasun formed as a tropical depression near Yap Island at 00UTC 28 J une 2002. It moved northwestward and became a tropical storm (TS) northwest of Yap I sland at O0UTC 29 J une. Moving almost northwestward far east of the Philippines, Rammasun developed into a severe tropical storm shortly and became a typhoon at 12UTC 01 J uly. Soon after reaching its peak intensity at 06UTC 03 J uly, it passed Miyako-jima around a quarter past 12UTC on that day, keeping the peak intensity. Rammasun then changed its direction from northwest to north, then to northeast gradually. It made landfall on the west coast of the K orean Peninsula with TS intensity around 22UTC 05 J uly. The storm transformed into an extratropical cyclone east of the Korean Peninsula at 12UTC 06J uly and dissipated south of VIadivostok at 00UTC 08J uly.

| Date/Time$\qquad$ |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind (kt) | Grade | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Date/Time } \\ \text { (UTC) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY RAMMASUN (0205) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun | 28/00 | 9.8 | 138.0 | 1004 | - | TD | Jul | 03/09 | 24.2 | 125.6 | 945 | 85 | TY |
|  | 28/06 | 10.1 | 137.7 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 03/12 | 24.7 | 125.3 | 945 | 85 | TY |
|  | 28/12 | 10.6 | 137.0 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 03/15 | 25.1 | 125.1 | 945 | 85 | TY |
|  | 28/18 | 10.8 | 136.6 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 03/18 | 25.6 | 124.9 | 945 | 85 | TY |
|  | 29/00 | 11.4 | 136.3 | 998 | 35 | TS |  | 03/21 | 26.2 | 124.8 | 945 | 85 | TY |
|  | 29/06 | 11.7 | 135.6 | 996 | 40 | TS |  | 04/00 | 26.7 | 124.6 | 945 | 85 | TY |
|  | 29/12 | 12.0 | 135.0 | 992 | 45 | TS |  | 04/03 | 27.2 | 124.1 | 945 | 85 | TY |
|  | 29/18 | 12.4 | 134.5 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 04/06 | 27.8 | 124.2 | 950 | 80 | TY |
|  | 30/00 | 12.8 | 133.7 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 04/09 | 28.6 | 124.2 | 950 | 80 | TY |
|  | 30/06 | 13.3 | 133.6 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 04/12 | 29.2 | 124.2 | 955 | 75 | TY |
|  | 30/12 | 13.4 | 133.6 | 980 | 50 | STS |  | 04/18 | 30.7 | 124.0 | 960 | 70 | TY |
|  | 30/18 | 13.9 | 133.6 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 05/00 | 32.2 | 123.7 | 965 | 65 | TY |
| Jul | 01/00 | 15.1 | 133.5 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 05/06 | 32.9 | 123.7 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 01/06 | 16.1 | 132.8 | 975 | 60 | STS |  | 05/12 | 33.7 | 124.5 | 980 | 55 | STS |
|  | 01/12 | 17.3 | 132.3 | 970 | 65 | TY |  | 05/18 | 34.9 | 125.5 | 980 | 45 | TS |
|  | 01/18 | 18.6 | 131.0 | 970 | 65 | TY |  | 06/00 | 36.5 | 127.0 | 985 | 40 | TS |
|  | 02/00 | 19.4 | 129.8 | 970 | 65 | TY |  | 06/06 | 37.5 | 129.0 | 986 | 40 | TS |
|  | 02/06 | 20.3 | 128.8 | 965 | 70 | TY |  | 06/12 | 38.5 | 129.8 | 988 | - | L |
|  | 02/12 | 21.2 | 128.0 | 955 | 80 | TY |  | 06/18 | 39.3 | 129.8 | 990 | - | L |
|  | 02/15 | 21.8 | 127.7 | 950 | 85 | TY |  | 07/00 | 39.6 | 129.8 | 992 | - | L |
|  | 02/18 | 22.3 | 127.4 | 950 | 85 | TY |  | 07/06 | 39.8 | 130.2 | 996 | - | L |
|  | 02/21 | 23.0 | 126.7 | 950 | 85 | TY |  | 07/12 | 40.3 | 131.7 | 1000 | - | L |
|  | 03/00 | 23.2 | 126.3 | 950 | 85 | TY |  | 07/18 | 40.4 | 132.7 | 1002 | - | L |
|  | 03/03 | 23.5 | 125.9 | 950 | 85 | TY |  | 08/00 |  |  |  |  | Dissip |



## TY CHATAAN (0206)

Chataan, which formed as a tropical depression near the Mortlock Islands at 00UTC 28 J une 2002, became a tropical storm northeast of the islands at OOUTC on the following day. Moving northwestward, it developed into a typhoon near southeast of Guam at 18UTC 04 July and passed Guam around 22UTC on that day. Moving west-northwestward, it reached the peak intensity west-northwest of Okinotori-shima at 00UTC 08 J uly. Chataan changed its direction to the north on 08 J uly and then to the northeast on 09 J uly with gradual weakening and made landfall on the Boso Peninsula in the southeast corner of Honshu around a quarter past 15UTC 10 J uly with severe tropical storm intensity. It then moved north-northeastward near east off Honshu and made the second landfall on the eastern part of Hokkaido around 12UTC on the next day. After it passed over Hokkaido with gradual weakening, Chataan became an extratropical cyclone in the southern Sea of Okhotsk at 15 UTC 11 J uly and dissipated near Sakhalin at 06UTC 13 J uly.

|  | /Time <br> (UTC) | Center <br> Lat (N) | Position <br> Lon (E) | Central pressure $(\mathrm{hPa})$ | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |  | /Time (UTC) | Center <br> Lat (N) | Position <br> Lon (E) | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY CHATAAN (0206) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun | 28/00 | 4.7 | 154.0 | 1006 | - | TD | Jul | 07/18 | 20.8 | 134.1 | 935 | 90 | TY |
|  | 28/06 | 5.0 | 153.5 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 08/00 | 21.6 | 133.7 | 930 | 95 | TY |
|  | 28/12 | 5.0 | 153.8 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 08/03 | 22.2 | 133.4 | 930 | 95 | TY |
|  | 28/18 | 5.0 | 154.7 | 1002 | - | TD |  | 08/06 | 22.8 | 133.2 | 930 | 95 | TY |
|  | 29/00 | 5.0 | 155.5 | 998 | 35 | TS |  | 08/09 | 23.4 | 133.1 | 930 | 95 | TY |
|  | 29/06 | 5.2 | 155.6 | 992 | 40 | TS |  | 08/12 | 24.0 | 132.9 | 930 | 95 | TY |
|  | 29/12 | 5.3 | 155.7 | 992 | 45 | TS |  | 08/15 | 24.7 | 132.6 | 930 | 95 | TY |
|  | 29/18 | 5.4 | 155.8 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 08/18 | 25.3 | 132.4 | 930 | 95 | TY |
|  | 30/00 | 5.5 | 155.9 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 08/21 | 26.0 | 132.5 | 935 | 90 | TY |
|  | 30/06 | 5.6 | 155.9 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 09/00 | 26.5 | 132.4 | 940 | 85 | TY |
|  | 30/12 | 5.8 | 155.4 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 09/03 | 27.1 | 132.4 | 940 | 85 | TY |
|  | 30/18 | 6.7 | 155.0 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 09/06 | 27.7 | 132.4 | 950 | 75 | TY |
| Jul | 01/00 | 6.8 | 154.8 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 09/09 | 28.1 | 132.6 | 950 | 75 | TY |
|  | 01/06 | 6.9 | 154.5 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 09/12 | 28.3 | 132.9 | 955 | 70 | TY |
|  | 01/12 | 7.0 | 154.2 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 09/15 | 28.5 | 133.3 | 955 | 70 | TY |
|  | 01/18 | 7.1 | 153.9 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 09/18 | 29.2 | 133.8 | 960 | 65 | TY |
|  | 02/00 | 7.2 | 153.4 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 09/21 | 30.2 | 134.4 | 965 | 60 | STS |
|  | 02/06 | 7.0 | 152.9 | 992 | 40 | TS |  | 10/00 | 30.6 | 134.9 | 965 | 60 | STS |
|  | 02/12 | 6.8 | 151.7 | 994 | 40 | TS |  | 10/03 | 31.3 | 135.0 | 965 | 60 | STS |
|  | 02/18 | 7.0 | 151.2 | 994 | 40 | TS |  | 10/06 | 32.0 | 136.4 | 965 | 60 | STS |
|  | 03/00 | 7.8 | 150.9 | 992 | 40 | TS |  | 10/09 | 33.3 | 137.5 | 965 | 60 | STS |
|  | 03/06 | 8.4 | 150.3 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 10/12 | 34.2 | 138.8 | 970 | 55 | STS |
|  | 03/12 | 9.3 | 149.6 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 10/15 | 34.9 | 139.7 | 970 | 55 | STS |
|  | 03/18 | 9.9 | 149.0 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 10/18 | 36.1 | 141.1 | 970 | 55 | STS |
|  | 04/00 | 10.6 | 148.7 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 10/21 | 38.2 | 141.8 | 975 | 55 | STS |
|  | 04/06 | 11.9 | 147.9 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 11/00 | 39.0 | 142.3 | 975 | 55 | STS |
|  | 04/12 | 12.6 | 146.6 | 975 | 60 | STS |  | 11/03 | 39.7 | 142.6 | 980 | 50 | STS |
|  | 04/18 | 13.0 | 145.6 | 965 | 70 | TY |  | 11/06 | 41.4 | 143.4 | 980 | 45 | TS |
|  | 05/00 | 13.8 | 144.7 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 11/09 | 42.4 | 144.1 | 980 | 45 | TS |
|  | 05/06 | 14.2 | 143.8 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 11/12 | 43.0 | 144.5 | 980 | 45 | TS |
|  | 05/12 | 14.7 | 142.6 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 11/15 | 44.2 | 144.1 | 984 | - | L |
|  | 05/18 | 15.3 | 141.8 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 11/18 | 44.8 | 143.6 | 986 | - | L |
|  | 06/00 | 15.9 | 141.0 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 12/00 | 45.9 | 143.6 | 990 | - | L |
|  | 06/06 | 16.7 | 140.1 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 12/06 | 46.6 | 143.6 | 992 | - | L |
|  | 06/12 | 17.2 | 139.4 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 12/12 | 47.5 | 143.5 | 996 | - | L |
|  | 06/18 | 17.8 | 138.3 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 12/18 | 47.8 | 143.4 | 996 | - | L |
|  | 07/00 | 18.8 | 137.3 | 940 | 85 | TY |  | 13/00 | 48.0 | 143.3 | 998 | - | L |
|  | 07/06 | 19.5 | 136.0 | 940 | 85 | TY |  | 13/06 |  |  |  |  | Dissip |



## TY HALONG (0207)

Halong formed as a tropical depression (TD) near the Marshall Islands at 06UTC 6 J uly 2002. It moved westward and became a tropical storm (TS) at 18UTC 7 J uly northeast of Truk Island. Moving west-northwestward, it reached a severe tropical storm (STS) at OOUTC 9 J uly northwest of the same island. Then it held a fairly straight west-northwest track and reached a typhoon (TY) intensity at 18UTC 10 J uly near southwest of Guam Island. After it reached the TY intensity, it began to take on a northwestward course. Moving northwestward, it reached its peak intensity with maximum sustained wind of 85kt near southwest of Okinotorishima at 18UTC 12 J uly. Until it reached to the south of Okinawa Island, it moved northwestwards keeping the same intensity. Then it began to take on a northward course at about OOUTC 14 J uly. It advanced to the East China Sea after passing through the southern part of Okinawa Island at about 12UTC 14J uly. After it turned gradually to the northeast, it advanced to the sea south of Kyushu and Shikoku. Moving northeastward over the sea south of Honshu, it made landfall over southern part of Izu Peninsula after O0UTC 16 J uly and made Iandfall again over southern part of Boso Peninsula before 02UTC 16 J uly. After it advanced to the sea east of Boso Peninsula, it passed through Choshi after 03UTC 16 J uly. It held a fairly straight northeastward track until it transformed into an extratropical cyclone on the sea east of Honshu at 18UTC 16J uly. And it dissipated south of the Kuril Islands at 00UTC 17 J uly.

| Date/Time <br> (UTC) | Center <br> Lat (N) | Position <br> Lon (E) | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |  | Time (UTC) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Center } \\ & \text { Lat (N) } \end{aligned}$ | Position <br> Lon (E) | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY HALONG (0207) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul 06/06 | 9.5 | 160.0 | 1006 | - | TD | Jul | 14/03 | 24.6 | 128.0 | 950 | 80 | TY |
| 06/12 | 9.5 | 159.4 | 1006 | - | TD |  | 14/06 | 25.1 | 127.7 | 955 | 75 | TY |
| 06/18 | 9.0 | 158.7 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 14/09 | 25.7 | 127.6 | 955 | 75 | TY |
| 07/00 | 8.5 | 158.0 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 14/12 | 26.2 | 127.6 | 960 | 70 | TY |
| 07/06 | 8.7 | 157.2 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 14/15 | 26.8 | 127.6 | 960 | 70 | TY |
| 07/12 | 8.8 | 156.6 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 14/18 | 27.5 | 127.6 | 960 | 70 | TY |
| 07/18 | 9.1 | 155.6 | 1000 | 35 | TS |  | 14/21 | 28.1 | 128.0 | 960 | 70 | TY |
| 08/00 | 9.4 | 154.6 | 998 | 40 | TS |  | 15/00 | 28.8 | 128.6 | 965 | 65 | TY |
| 08/06 | 9.8 | 153.0 | 994 | 40 | TS |  | 15/03 | 29.5 | 129.2 | 965 | 65 | TY |
| 08/12 | 10.1 | 151.7 | 992 | 45 | TS |  | 15/06 | 30.1 | 130.2 | 965 | 65 | TY |
| 08/18 | 10.4 | 150.4 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 15/09 | 30.6 | 131.1 | 970 | 65 | TY |
| 09/00 | 10.8 | 149.3 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 15/12 | 31.3 | 132.3 | 975 | 60 | STS |
| 09/06 | 10.8 | 148.2 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 15/15 | 32.3 | 133.5 | 980 | 55 | STS |
| 09/12 | 10.8 | 147.4 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 15/18 | 33.0 | 135.1 | 980 | 55 | STS |
| 09/18 | 11.3 | 146.5 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 15/21 | 33.6 | 136.8 | 980 | 55 | STS |
| 10/00 | 11.9 | 145.1 | 975 | 60 | STS |  | 16/00 | 34.6 | 138.7 | 975 | 60 | STS |
| 10/06 | 12.3 | 144.2 | 975 | 60 | STS |  | 16/01 | 34.8 | 139.3 | 975 | 60 | STS |
| 10/12 | 12.3 | 143.2 | 975 | 60 | STS |  | 16/03 | 35.6 | 140.6 | 975 | 60 | STS |
| 10/18 | 12.5 | 142.4 | 970 | 65 | TY |  | 16/06 | 36.9 | 142.2 | 975 | 55 | STS |
| 11/00 | 12.7 | 141.6 | 965 | 70 | TY |  | 16/09 | 38.2 | 144.5 | 980 | 50 | STS |
| 11/06 | 13.2 | 140.5 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 16/12 | 39.8 | 146.5 | 985 | 50 | STS |
| 11/12 | 13.7 | 139.4 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 16/18 | 42.4 | 148.9 | 984 | - | L |
| 11/18 | 14.1 | 138.4 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 17/00 | 45.0 | 151.5 | 988 | - | L |
| 12/00 | 14.9 | 137.5 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 17/06 | 47.5 | 154.5 | 990 | - | L |
| 12/06 | 15.7 | 136.5 | 955 | 80 | TY |  | 17/12 | 49.5 | 157.1 | 990 | - | L |
| 12/12 | 16.6 | 135.7 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 17/18 | 51.2 | 158.9 | 990 | - | L |
| 12/18 | 17.6 | 134.7 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 18/00 | 52.5 | 162.9 | 990 | - | L |
| 13/00 | 18.8 | 133.6 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 18/06 | 53.4 | 163.5 | 990 | - | L |
| 13/06 | 20.2 | 132.2 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 18/12 | 53.9 | 165.5 | 990 | - | L |
| 13/12 | 21.6 | 130.8 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 18/18 | 54.6 | 167.0 | 992 | - | L |
| 13/15 | 22.3 | 130.0 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 19/00 | 53.4 | 170.4 | 992 | - | L |
| 13/18 | 22.8 | 129.4 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 19/06 | 52.2 | 173.6 | 992 | - | L |
| 13/21 | 23.5 | 128.9 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 19/12 | 51.1 | 176.0 | 994 | - | L |
| 14/00 | 24.0 | 128.3 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 19/18 | 50.3 | 180.4 | 994 | - | Out |



## STS NAKRI (0208)

Nakri formed as a tropical depression (TD) over the sea southwest of Taiwan at 18UTC 7 J uly 2002. It moved northeastward and became a tropical storm (TS) the sea west of Taiwan at OOUTC 9 J uly. After making a landfall on the western coast of Taiwan at 12UTC 9 July, it advanced to the East China Sea. Moving to the northeast, it became a severe tropical storm (STS) and reached its peak intensity with maximum sustained wind of 50kt on the sea east of Taiwan at 12UTC 10 July. After its peak period of intensity it moved eastward. When it reached the sea southwest of Okinawa Island, it began to take on a northward course with a minor devel opment. Then it held a fairly straight northward track until it weakened to a tropical depression on the sea west of Okinawa Island at 15UTC 12 J uly and dissipated over the sea west of K yushu at 18UTC 13J uly.



## TY FENGSHEN (0209)

Fengshen formed as a tropical depression (TD) near the Marshall Islands at 18UTC 13 J ULY 2002. It moved westward and became a tropical storm (TS) at 00UTC 14 J uly over the same waters. Soon, it turned northward. Moving northward it reached the severe tropical storms (STS) intensity at 12UTC 14 July over the same waters. Keeping the northward track, it reached the typhoon (TY) intensity at 12UTC 15 J uly southeast of Wake Island. Then it turned to the west. Moving westward, it reached the first peak intensity with maximum sustained wind of 100 kt southwest of Wake Island at 18 UTC 18 J uly. After that it began to weaken slightly at 18UTC 19 J uly. Then it turned to the northwest at OOUTC 20 July southeast of Minamitorishima. Moving northwestward, it reached the second peak intensity with maximum sustained winds of 100kt south of Minamitorishima at 06UTC 21 July. It moved northwestward and then westward with a slow weakening but still keeping the TY intensity until it reached the sea south of J apan. It weakened to a STS at OOUTC 25 July over the same waters, Fengshen moved northwestward and passed through Yakushima south of Kyushu at around a quarter to 12UTC 25 J uly. It farther weakened to a TS at 12UTC 26 J uly near Cheju Island. It moved northwestward keeping the TS intensity until it reached to south off Shandong Peninsula, where it weakened into a tropical depression at 12UTC 27 July. Then it turned to the north and crossed the Peninsula on the same day. The tropical depression dissipated in Bohai at 18UTC 28J uly.


|  | Time <br> (UTC) | Center <br> Lat (N) | Position <br> Lon (E) | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade | Date/Time <br> (UTC) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Center } \\ \text { Lat ( } \mathrm{N} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Position <br> Lon (E) | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY FENGSHEN (0209) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul | 13/18 | 10.9 | 171.8 | 1000 | - | TD | Jul 22/18 | 25.0 | 148.7 | 930 | 90 | TY |
|  | 14/00 | 11.0 | 170.9 | 995 | 35 | TS | 23/00 | 25.9 | 147.5 | 940 | 85 | TY |
|  | 14/06 | 11.6 | 170.7 | 990 | 40 | TS | 23/03 | 26.2 | 146.8 | 940 | 85 | TY |
|  | 14/12 | 12.0 | 170.4 | 985 | 50 | STS | 23/06 | 26.7 | 146.1 | 945 | 80 | TY |
|  | 14/18 | 12.6 | 170.2 | 985 | 50 | STS | 23/09 | 27.1 | 145.5 | 945 | 80 | TY |
|  | 14/21 | 12.8 | 170.3 | 1000 | 35 | TS | 23/12 | 27.5 | 144.7 | 945 | 80 | TY |
|  | 15/00 | 13.1 | 170.3 | 980 | 55 | STS | 23/15 | 27.7 | 143.9 | 945 | 80 | TY |
|  | 15/06 | 13.6 | 170.4 | 975 | 60 | STS | 23/18 | 27.9 | 143.0 | 945 | 80 | TY |
|  | 15/12 | 13.9 | 170.5 | 970 | 65 | TY | 23/21 | 28.1 | 142.2 | 945 | 80 | TY |
|  | 15/18 | 14.3 | 170.3 | 965 | 70 | TY | 24/00 | 28.5 | 141.1 | 955 | 75 | TY |
|  | 16/00 | 14.5 | 169.9 | 955 | 75 | TY | 24/03 | 28.7 | 140.2 | 955 | 75 | TY |
|  | 16/06 | 14.6 | 169.5 | 950 | 80 | TY | 24/06 | 28.7 | 139.1 | 960 | 70 | TY |
|  | 16/12 | 14.5 | 168.9 | 940 | 85 | TY | 24/12 | 28.7 | 137.7 | 965 | 65 | TY |
|  | 16/18 | 14.5 | 168.6 | 935 | 90 | TY | 24/18 | 29.0 | 136.1 | 965 | 65 | TY |
|  | 17/00 | 14.6 | 167.9 | 930 | 90 | TY | 25/00 | 29.6 | 134.1 | 970 | 60 | STS |
|  | 17/06 | 14.6 | 167.3 | 930 | 90 | TY | 25/03 | 30.0 | 133.1 | 970 | 60 | STS |
|  | 17/12 | 14.7 | 166.4 | 930 | 90 | TY | 25/06 | 30.2 | 132.2 | 970 | 60 | STS |
|  | 17/18 | 14.7 | 165.5 | 930 | 95 | TY | 25/09 | 30.3 | 131.5 | 970 | 60 | STS |
|  | 18/00 | 14.6 | 164.6 | 930 | 95 | TY | 25/11 | 30.3 | 130.9 | 970 | 55 | STS |
|  | 18/06 | 14.6 | 163.8 | 930 | 95 | TY | 25/12 | 30.3 | 130.6 | 970 | 55 | STS |
|  | 18/12 | 14.8 | 163.0 | 930 | 95 | TY | 25/15 | 30.5 | 130.1 | 970 | 55 | STS |
|  | 18/18 | 15.0 | 162.1 | 925 | 100 | TY | 25/18 | 30.9 | 129.3 | 975 | 55 | STS |
|  | 19/00 | 15.1 | 161.3 | 925 | 100 | TY | 25/21 | 31.4 | 128.4 | 975 | 55 | STS |
|  | 19/06 | 15.3 | 160.5 | 925 | 100 | TY | 26/00 | 31.9 | 128.0 | 975 | 55 | STS |
|  | 19/12 | 15.5 | 159.8 | 925 | 100 | TY | 26/03 | 31.9 | 127.3 | 975 | 55 | STS |
|  | 19/18 | 15.8 | 159.1 | 930 | 95 | TY | 26/06 | 32.2 | 126.8 | 980 | 50 | STS |
|  | 20/00 | 16.0 | 158.5 | 930 | 95 | TY | 26/12 | 33.0 | 125.7 | 985 | 45 | TS |
|  | 20/06 | 16.6 | 158.1 | 930 | 95 | TY | 26/18 | 33.5 | 124.5 | 990 | 40 | TS |
|  | 20/12 | 17.2 | 157.6 | 930 | 95 | TY | 27/00 | 33.9 | 123.4 | 996 | 35 | TS |
|  | 20/18 | 17.8 | 157.0 | 930 | 95 | TY | 27/06 | 34.7 | 122.2 | 998 | 35 | TS |
|  | 21/00 | 19.0 | 156.2 | 930 | 95 | TY | 27/12 | 35.0 | 120.9 | 1002 | - | TD |
|  | 21/06 | 19.8 | 155.3 | 920 | 100 | TY | 27/18 | 35.5 | 120.2 | 1004 | - | TD |
|  | 21/12 | 20.5 | 154.3 | 920 | 100 | TY | 28/00 | 37.0 | 119.7 | 1006 | - | TD |
|  | 21/18 | 21.4 | 153.4 | 920 | 100 | TY | 28/06 | 37.6 | 119.2 | 1006 | - | TD |
|  | 22/00 | 22.2 | 152.2 | 920 | 100 | TY | 28/12 | 38.2 | 118.4 | 1006 | - | TD |
|  | 22/06 | 23.3 | 151.2 | 925 | 95 | TY | 28/18 |  |  |  |  | Dissip |
|  | 22/12 | 24.2 | 150.0 | 925 | 95 | TY |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TS KALMAEGI (0210)

Kalmaegi crossed the international date line as a tropical depression (TD) over the sea far east of the Marshall Islands at around 07UTC 20 J uly 2002. Moving northwest, it developed into a tropical storm (TS) over the same waters at 12UTC 20 J uly. It held the TS intensity for 18 hours with maximum sustained wind of 35 kt . It weakened to a TD over the same waters at 06UTC 21 J uly and dissipated at 12UTC 21 J uly.



## TY FUNG-WONG (0211)

Fung-wong formed as a tropical depression (TD) southwest of Minamitorishima at 18UTC 18 J uly 2002. It moved to the northeast for the first six hours and then turned gradually to the west. Moving westward it reached a tropical storm (TS) over the sea south of Chichijima at 18UTC 20 J uly. H olding a fairly straight westward track, it intensified into a severe tropical storm (STS) over the sea southeast of Minamidaitojima at 00UTC 22 J uly. FUNG-WONG intensified into a typhoon (TY) and reached the peak intensity with sustained wind of 70 kt south of the same island at 12UTC 23 J uly, when it started an anti-clockwise turn to make a circular track over the same waters. At the southernmost point of the circular track, it weakened into a STS at 00UTC 25 J uly. It then moved to the north and weakened into a TS east of Minamidaitojima at 06UTC 26 J uly. It held a fairly straight northwestward track and it weakened into a tropical depression over the sea south of Kyushu at 03UTC 27 J uly and dissipated over the same waters at 12UTC 27 J uly.



## STS KAMMURI (0212)

Kammuri formed as a tropical depression (TD) north of Luzon Island at OOUTC 2 August 2002. It moved west-northwestward into the South China Sea, then westward and became a tropical storm (TS) south off Hong Kong at 18UTC 3 August. After a short backward drift to the east-northeast, the storm changed its direction to the north and further developed into a severe tropical storm (STS) over the same waters at 12UTC 4 August. Kammuri reached its peak intensity 6 hours later and soon made landfall east of Hong Kong on the southern coast of Guangdong Province of China at around 23UTC on that day. After the landfall, it weakened to the TS intensity at 06UTC 5 August and further down to the TD intensity north of Hong Kong at 12 UTC on that day. It dissipated over the central part of China on 7 August.

| Date/Time (UTC) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Center } \\ \text { Lat }(\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Position Lon (E) | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind (kt) | Grade |  | /Time (UTC) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Center } \\ & \text { Lat (N) } \end{aligned}$ | Position <br> Lon (E) | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STS KAMMURI (0212) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug 02/00 | 19.5 | 120.3 | 1002 | - | TD | Aug | 04/18 | 22.3 | 115.5 | 980 | 55 | STS |
| 02/06 | 19.3 | 119.6 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 05/00 | 23.0 | 115.7 | 985 | 50 | STS |
| 02/12 | 19.8 | 118.5 | 998 | - | TD |  | 05/06 | 24.5 | 115.9 | 990 | 40 | TS |
| 02/18 | 20.2 | 117.5 | 998 | - | TD |  | 05/12 | 25.0 | 115.4 | 992 | - | TD |
| 03/00 | 20.8 | 117.3 | 998 | - | TD |  | 05/18 | 26.0 | 115.0 | 994 | - | TD |
| 03/06 | 20.8 | 116.3 | 994 | - | TD |  | 06/00 | 27.0 | 115.2 | 996 | - | TD |
| 03/12 | 20.8 | 115.4 | 994 | - | TD |  | 06/06 | 27.0 | 115.0 | 996 | - | TD |
| 03/18 | 20.7 | 114.6 | 992 | 35 | TS |  | 06/12 | 27.5 | 114.0 | 998 | - | TD |
| 04/00 | 20.5 | 114.4 | 992 | 40 | TS |  | 06/18 | 28.0 | 113.5 | 998 | - | TD |
| 04/06 | 20.9 | 115.1 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 07/00 | 28.4 | 114.2 | 1000 | - | TD |
| 04/12 | 21.5 | 115.0 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 07/06 |  |  |  |  | Dissip |



## TY PHANFONE (0213)

Phanfone formed as a tropical depression (TD) northeast of Pompei Island at OOUTC 11 August 2002. It moved northwestward and became a tropical storm (TS) north of Pompei Island at OOUTC on the following day. After moving north-northwestward, Phanfone turned its direction to the northwest and developed into a typhoon (TY) at OOUTC 14 August east of the Mariana Islands. Holding a northwestward track, Phanfone reached the peak intensity near I wojima at 03UTC 16 August. It turned to the north-northwest again at around 12UTC on that day. Then it made an abrupt turn towards the northeast over the waters south of the Kii Peninsula shortly after 06UTC 18 August. After passing near Hachijojima just before 08UTC 19 August, it accelerated to the east-northeast. It downgraded into a severe tropical storm (STS) with gradual change of direction to the north and transformed into an extratropical cyclone east of Hokkaido at 00UTC 21 August. It moved on an east-northeast track and crossed the International Date Line on 24 August.

|  | Time (UTC) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Center } \\ & \text { Lat (N) } \end{aligned}$ | Position <br> Lon (E) | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |  | /Time (UTC) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Center } \\ & \text { Lat (N) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Position } \\ & \text { Lon (E) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY PHANFONE (0213) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug | 11/00 | 8.9 | 160.5 | 1004 | - | TD | Aug | 18/03 | 30.9 | 136.5 | 945 | 80 | TY |
|  | 11/06 | 9.7 | 159.3 | 1002 | - | TD |  | 18/06 | 31.1 | 136.5 | 945 | 80 | TY |
|  | 11/12 | 10.1 | 158.5 | 1002 | - | TD |  | 18/09 | 31.2 | 136.7 | 950 | 80 | TY |
|  | 11/18 | 10.2 | 158.4 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 18/12 | 31.4 | 137.0 | 955 | 75 | TY |
|  | 12/00 | 10.6 | 158.2 | 998 | 35 | TS |  | 18/15 | 31.5 | 137.2 | 955 | 75 | TY |
|  | 12/06 | 11.2 | 158.0 | 992 | 40 | TS |  | 18/18 | 31.8 | 137.6 | 955 | 75 | TY |
|  | 12/12 | 11.9 | 157.5 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 18/21 | 32.0 | 138.2 | 955 | 75 | TY |
|  | 12/18 | 12.5 | 157.3 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 19/00 | 32.3 | 138.9 | 960 | 70 | TY |
|  | 13/00 | 14.0 | 156.9 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 19/03 | 32.5 | 139.5 | 960 | 70 | TY |
|  | 13/06 | 15.5 | 156.2 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 19/06 | 32.7 | 139.8 | 965 | 65 | TY |
|  | 13/12 | 16.5 | 154.6 | 975 | 60 | STS |  | 19/07 | 32.9 | 139.9 | 965 | 65 | TY |
|  | 13/18 | 17.1 | 153.7 | 975 | 60 | STS |  | 19/09 | 33.2 | 140.1 | 965 | 65 | TY |
|  | 14/00 | 18.3 | 152.3 | 970 | 65 | TY |  | 19/12 | 33.3 | 140.8 | 970 | 65 | TY |
|  | 14/06 | 19.1 | 150.6 | 965 | 70 | TY |  | 19/15 | 33.3 | 141.8 | 970 | 65 | TY |
|  | 14/12 | 19.4 | 149.2 | 965 | 70 | TY |  | 19/18 | 33.6 | 143.0 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 14/18 | 20.2 | 148.1 | 965 | 70 | TY |  | 19/21 | 33.6 | 144.0 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 15/00 | 21.1 | 146.9 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 20/00 | 34.3 | 146.1 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 15/06 | 22.0 | 145.6 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 20/06 | 36.2 | 149.1 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 15/12 | 22.8 | 144.6 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 20/12 | 38.4 | 152.4 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 15/18 | 23.9 | 143.3 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 20/18 | 41.9 | 153.3 | 970 | 60 | STS |
|  | 16/00 | 24.7 | 142.1 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 21/00 | 43.9 | 151.6 | 970 | - | L |
|  | 16/03 | 25.1 | 141.5 | 940 | 85 | TY |  | 21/06 | 44.1 | 151.9 | 972 |  | L |
|  | 16/06 | 25.4 | 141.0 | 940 | 85 | TY |  | 21/12 | 44.5 | 151.6 | 976 | - | L |
|  | 16/09 | 25.7 | 140.5 | 940 | 85 | TY |  | 21/18 | 44.8 | 152.0 | 980 | - | L |
|  | 16/12 | 26.0 | 140.1 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 22/00 | 44.9 | 152.5 | 982 | - | L |
|  | 16/15 | 26.4 | 139.8 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 22/06 | 44.9 | 154.1 | 984 | - | L |
|  | 16/18 | 26.8 | 139.4 | 945 | 80 | TY |  | 22/12 | 45.6 | 156.3 | 986 | - | L |
|  | 16/21 | 27.2 | 139.2 | 945 | 80 | TY |  | 22/18 | 47.1 | 158.0 | 990 | - | L |
|  | 17/00 | 27.6 | 138.8 | 945 | 80 | TY |  | 23/00 | 47.3 | 160.5 | 994 | - | L |
|  | 17/03 | 28.1 | 138.5 | 945 | 80 | TY |  | 23/06 | 48.1 | 162.2 | 996 | - | L |
|  | 17/06 | 28.5 | 138.2 | 945 | 80 | TY |  | 23/12 | 48.9 | 164.5 | 998 | - | L |
|  | 17/09 | 29.0 | 138.0 | 945 | 80 | TY |  | 23/18 | 49.3 | 167.7 | 1000 | - | L |
|  | 17/12 | 29.4 | 137.7 | 945 | 80 | TY |  | 24/00 | 49.5 | 171.2 | 1000 | - | L |
|  | 17/15 | 29.8 | 137.4 | 945 | 80 | TY |  | 24/06 | 49.8 | 175.6 | 998 | - | L |
|  | 17/18 | 30.3 | 137.2 | 945 | 80 | TY |  | 24/12 | 50.9 | 178.4 | 998 | - | L |
|  | 17/21 | 30.5 | 136.8 | 945 | 80 | TY |  | 24/18 | 50.9 | 179.3 | 998 | - | L |
|  | 18/00 | 30.8 | 136.6 | 945 | 80 | TY |  | 25/00 | 50.2 | 181.5 | 998 | - | Out |



## TS VONGFONG (0214)

Vongfong formed as a tropical depression (TD) east off the southern part of Viet Nam at 06UTC 15 August 2002. It moved northward, then westward and became a tropical storm (TS) southeast of Hainan Island at OOUTC 18 August. Keeping the TS intensity, Vongfong turned to the north-northwest and made landfall just east of the Leizhou Peninsula at around 12UTC 19 August. After the landfall, it weakened to the TD intensity south of Guilin at 21UTC 19 August. It dissipated west of Guilin on 20 August.

| Date/Time$\qquad$ (UTC) |  | Center <br> Lat (N) | Position Lon (E) | Central pressure (hPa) | Max <br> Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |  | Time (UTC) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Center } \\ & \text { Lat (N) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Position } \\ & \text { Lon (E) } \end{aligned}$ | Central pressure (hPa) | Max <br> Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TS VONGFONG (0214) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug | 15/06 | 13.5 | 113.6 | 994 |  | TD | Aug | 18/00 | 16.3 | 112.5 | 985 | 35 | TS |
|  | 15/12 | 14.0 | 113.8 | 992 |  | TD |  | 18/06 | 16.7 | 112.0 | 985 | 40 | TS |
|  | 15/18 | 14.5 | 113.4 | 992 | - | TD |  | 18/12 | 16.9 | 111.8 | 985 | 40 | TS |
|  | 16/00 | 14.6 | 113.7 | 992 | - | TD |  | 18/18 | 17.6 | 111.5 | 985 | 40 | TS |
|  | 16/06 | 15.3 | 114.3 | 990 | - | TD |  | 19/00 | 18.3 | 111.2 | 985 | 40 | TS |
|  | 16/12 | 15.1 | 114.0 | 990 |  | TD |  | 19/06 | 19.9 | 111.0 | 985 | 40 | TS |
|  | 16/18 | 15.0 | 114.3 | 990 | - | TD |  | 19/12 | 21.3 | 110.6 | 985 | 40 | TS |
|  | 17/00 | 16.1 | 114.6 | 990 | - | TD |  | 19/18 | 23.0 | 110.0 | 992 | 35 | TS |
|  | 17/06 | 16.3 | 113.6 | 990 | - |  |  | 19/21 | 24.1 | 109.7 | 996 |  | TD |
|  | 17/12 | 16.2 | 113.5 | 990 | - | TD |  | 20/00 | 25.0 | 108.0 | 1000 |  | TD |
|  | 17/18 | 16.2 | 112.8 | 990 | - | TD |  | 20/06 |  |  |  |  | Dissip |



## TY RUSA (0215)

Rusa formed as a tropical depression (TD) north of Bikini Island at 06UTC 22 August 2002. It moved west-northwestward and became a tropical storm (TS) northwest of Bikini Island at OOUTC on the following day. Holding a west-northwestward track, Rusa developed into a typhoon (TY) northeast of the Mariana Islands at 18UTC 25 August. Rusa then reached its peak intensity at 12UTC on the following day and kept almost the same intensity until 15UTC 30 August. Rusa changed its direction to the northwest gradually and passed the northern part of Amamioshima at around 12UTC 29 August. After changing its direction to the north gradually, Rusa made landfall on the Korean Peninsula at around 08UTC 31 August. Then it changed its direction to the north-northeast with rapid weakening and downgraded into the TD intensity near the eastern coast of the Korean Peninsula at OOUTC 1 September. Moving northeastward, it transformed into an extratropical cyclone over Primorskiy at 18UTC on that day and dissipated over the Kamchatka Peninsula at OOUTC 4 September.

| Date/Time (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade | Date/Time (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max <br> Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY RUSA (0215) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug | 22/06 | 14.9 | 163.8 | 1008 | - | TD | Aug | 29/09 | 28.2 | 130.0 | 950 | 75 | TY |
|  | 22/12 | 15.4 | 163.4 | 1008 | - | TD |  | 29/12 | 28.4 | 129.6 | 950 | 75 | TY |
|  | 22/18 | 15.8 | 162.3 | 1006 | - | TD |  | 29/15 | 28.6 | 129.0 | 950 | 75 | TY |
|  | 23/00 | 16.5 | 161.0 | 1000 | 35 | TS |  | 29/18 | 28.9 | 128.7 | 950 | 75 | TY |
|  | 23/06 | 16.7 | 160.2 | 996 | 40 | TS |  | 29/21 | 29.3 | 128.4 | 950 | 75 | TY |
|  | 23/12 | 17.4 | 160.1 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 30/00 | 29.6 | 128.1 | 950 | 75 | TY |
|  | 23/18 | 18.6 | 158.8 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 30/03 | 30.0 | 127.8 | 950 | 75 | TY |
|  | 24/00 | 18.8 | 157.0 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 30/06 | 30.3 | 127.8 | 950 | 75 | TY |
|  | 24/06 | 19.0 | 156.0 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 30/09 | 30.6 | 127.7 | 950 | 75 | TY |
|  | 24/12 | 19.5 | 155.2 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 30/12 | 30.9 | 127.6 | 950 | 75 | TY |
|  | 24/18 | 20.0 | 153.9 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 30/15 | 31.2 | 127.6 | 950 | 75 | TY |
|  | 25/00 | 20.6 | 152.6 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 30/18 | 31.5 | 127.6 | 955 | 70 | TY |
|  | 25/06 | 21.0 | 150.8 | 975 | 60 | STS |  | 30/21 | 31.9 | 127.6 | 955 | 70 | TY |
|  | 25/12 | 21.2 | 149.5 | 975 | 60 | STS |  | 31/00 | 32.6 | 127.1 | 960 | 70 | TY |
|  | 25/18 | 21.9 | 148.0 | 970 | 65 | TY |  | 31/03 | 33.3 | 127.2 | 960 | 70 | TY |
|  | 26/00 | 22.1 | 146.7 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 31/06 | 34.2 | 127.4 | 960 | 70 | TY |
|  | 26/06 | 22.6 | 145.6 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 31/09 | 35.0 | 127.3 | 965 | 65 | TY |
|  | 26/12 | 22.7 | 144.5 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 31/12 | 35.6 | 127.5 | 975 | 50 | STS |
|  | 26/18 | 23.2 | 143.3 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 31/18 | 36.5 | 128.0 | 985 | 40 | TS |
|  | 27/00 | 23.5 | 141.9 | 955 | 75 | TY | Sep | 01/00 | 38.0 | 128.7 | 992 | - | TD |
|  | 27/06 | 23.8 | 140.5 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 01/06 | 39.0 | 129.8 | 996 | - | TD |
|  | 27/12 | 24.2 | 139.3 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 01/12 | 40.6 | 130.8 | 1000 | - | TD |
|  | 27/18 | 24.6 | 137.9 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 01/18 | 43.5 | 134.2 | 1000 | - | L |
|  | 28/00 | 25.1 | 136.5 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 02/00 | 46.5 | 138.7 | 1002 | - | L |
|  | 28/06 | 25.7 | 135.2 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 02/06 | 49.2 | 141.2 | 996 | - | L |
|  | 28/09 | 26.0 | 134.8 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 02/12 | 51.2 | 144.3 | 992 | - | L |
|  | 28/12 | 26.4 | 133.9 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 02/18 | 52.6 | 146.3 | 990 | - | L |
|  | 28/15 | 26.7 | 133.4 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 03/00 | 53.3 | 147.5 | 988 | - | L |
|  | 28/18 | 27.1 | 132.6 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 03/06 | 54.3 | 150.3 | 984 | - | L |
|  | 28/21 | 27.2 | 132.0 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 03/12 | 55.2 | 152.5 | 984 | - | L |
|  | 29/00 | 27.6 | 131.3 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 03/18 | 55.0 | 156.0 | 984 | - | L |
|  | 29/03 | 27.6 | 130.8 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 04/00 |  |  |  |  | Dissip |
|  | 29/06 | 27.9 | 130.4 | 950 | 75 | TY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## TY SINLAKU (0216)

Sinlaku formed as a tropical depression (TD) south of Minamitorishima at 12UTC 27 August 2002. After becoming a tropical storm (TS) over the same waters at 06UTC 29 August, Sinlaku moved northwestward and developed into a typhoon (TY) west-southwest of Minamitorishima at 00UTC 31 August. Then it changed its direction to the west and reached its peak intensity at 06UTC on that day. Keeping the TY intensity, Sinlaku changed its direction to the west-northwest at 18UTC 2 September and passed near Minamidaitojima at around half past 21UTC 3 September. Then it passed the southern part of Okinawa shortly before 16UTC 4 September and passed near Kumejima at around 23UTC on that day. Soon after Sinlaku was downgraded to a severe tropical storm at 12UTC 7 September over the East China Sea, it made landfall on the central part of China. It weakened to a TD at OOUTC 8 September and dissipated over the continent at 06UTC 9 September.

| Date/Time (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind $\qquad$ <br> (kt) | Grade | Date/Time (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max <br> Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY SINLAKU (0216) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug | 27/12 | 16.4 | 154.7 | 1004 | - | TD | Sep | 04/03 | 26.0 | 130.1 | 960 | 75 | TY |
|  | 27/18 | 16.8 | 154.6 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 04/06 | 26.1 | 129.5 | 960 | 75 | TY |
|  | 28/00 | 16.1 | 153.8 | 1008 | - | TD |  | 04/09 | 26.2 | 129.0 | 960 | 75 | TY |
|  | 28/06 | 16.0 | 155.0 | 1006 | - | TD |  | 04/12 | 26.2 | 128.5 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 28/12 | 16.5 | 155.4 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 04/15 | 26.2 | 127.9 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 28/18 | 17.0 | 155.4 | 1002 | - | TD |  | 04/18 | 26.3 | 127.3 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 29/00 | 17.8 | 155.3 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 04/21 | 26.4 | 127.0 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 29/06 | 18.3 | 155.1 | 996 | 35 | TS |  | 04/23 | 26.4 | 126.8 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 29/12 | 18.7 | 155.1 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 05/00 | 26.4 | 126.7 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 29/18 | 19.3 | 154.9 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 05/03 | 26.5 | 126.4 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 30/00 | 20.7 | 154.0 | 985 | 55 | STS |  | 05/06 | 26.5 | 126.2 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 30/06 | 21.5 | 153.5 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 05/09 | 26.5 | 126.1 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 30/12 | 22.3 | 152.6 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 05/12 | 26.6 | 125.8 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 30/18 | 23.0 | 151.6 | 975 | 60 | STS |  | 05/15 | 26.5 | 125.5 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 31/00 | 23.4 | 150.8 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 05/18 | 26.3 | 125.3 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 31/06 | 23.7 | 149.7 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 05/21 | 26.3 | 125.3 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 31/12 | 23.8 | 148.7 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 06/00 | 26.0 | 125.2 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 31/18 | 23.9 | 147.9 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 06/03 | 26.0 | 124.9 | 955 | 80 | TY |
| Sep | 01/00 | 24.0 | 146.8 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 06/06 | 26.0 | 124.8 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 01/06 | 24.1 | 145.7 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 06/09 | 26.1 | 124.7 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 01/12 | 24.2 | 144.5 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 06/12 | 26.2 | 124.4 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 01/18 | 24.3 | 143.1 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 06/15 | 26.3 | 124.2 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 02/00 | 24.2 | 141.8 | 950 | 80 | TY |  | 06/18 | 26.5 | 123.8 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 02/06 | 24.4 | 140.4 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 06/21 | 26.7 | 123.4 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 02/12 | 24.5 | 139.1 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 07/00 | 27.0 | 123.3 | 960 | 75 | TY |
|  | 02/18 | 24.5 | 137.7 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 07/06 | 27.3 | 121.5 | 965 | 70 | TY |
|  | 03/00 | 24.9 | 136.4 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 07/12 | 27.1 | 120.4 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 03/06 | 25.4 | 134.9 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 07/18 | 27.4 | 119.1 | 990 | 45 | TS |
|  | 03/09 | 25.5 | 134.2 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 08/00 | 28.2 | 116.9 | 1002 | - | TD |
|  | 03/12 | 25.5 | 133.3 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 08/06 | 28.4 | 116.2 | 1004 |  | TD |
|  | 03/15 | 25.6 | 132.6 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 08/12 | 28.7 | 113.9 | 1006 | - | TD |
|  | 03/18 | 25.7 | 132.1 | 955 | 75 | TY |  | 08/18 | 28.8 | 112.8 | 1008 |  | TD |
|  | 03/21 | 25.7 | 131.3 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 09/00 | 29.4 | 111.5 | 1008 |  | TD |
|  | 04/00 | 25.9 | 130.7 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 09/06 |  |  |  |  | Dissip |



## TY ELE (0217)

A tropical cyclone generated in the central North Pacific was named Ele by the Central Pacific Hurricane Center (CPHC), RSMC Honolulu. The tropical cyclone crossed the International Date Line with the typhoon (TY) intensity at 03UTC 30 August 2002 and moved into the western North Pacific, the area of responsibility of the RSMC Tokyo Typhoon Center. Ele moved north-northwestward and reached its peak intensity with a central pressure of 940 hPa and a maximum sustained wind speed of 90 kt northeast of Wake Island at OOUTC 2 September. It changed its direction gradually to the northeast on 2 and 3 September, then to the northwest on 4 September with gradual weakening. Ele turned to the northeast again at around OOUTC 9 September and was downgraded to a tropical depression (TD) far east of Japan at 18UTC on that day. The depression transformed into an extratropical cydone at 06UTC 10 September and moved into higher latitudes, crossing the Aleutian Islands. It moved back into the central North Pacific on 11 September.

| Date/Time <br> (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade | Date/Time <br> (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug |  |  |  |  |  | TY | 17) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30/00 | 12.1 | 180.3 | 965 | 70 | HR | Sep | 05/12 | 28.5 | 174.8 | 970 | 65 | TY |
|  | 30/03 | 12.3 | 180.0 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 05/18 | 29.3 | 174.3 | 965 | 65 | TY |
|  | 30/06 | 12.6 | 179.7 | 955 | 80 | TY |  | 06/00 | 30.0 | 173.8 | 965 | 65 | TY |
| Sep | 30/12 | 13.1 | 179.3 | 950 | 85 | TY |  | 06/06 | 30.6 | 173.1 | 965 | 65 | TY |
|  | 30/18 | 13.8 | 178.5 | 950 | 85 | TY |  | 06/12 | 31.1 | 172.6 | 970 | 65 | TY |
|  | 31/00 | 14.3 | 177.9 | 950 | 85 | TY |  | 06/18 | 31.9 | 172.0 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 31/06 | 15.0 | 177.6 | 950 | 85 | TY |  | 07/00 | 32.7 | 170.8 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 31/12 | 15.9 | 177.2 | 950 | 85 | TY |  | 07/06 | 33.5 | 169.7 | 980 | 55 | STS |
|  | 31/18 | 16.7 | 177.2 | 950 | 85 | TY |  | 07/12 | 34.0 | 169.0 | 985 | 50 | STS |
|  | 01/00 | 18.0 | 176.7 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 07/18 | 34.1 | 167.4 | 990 | 50 | STS |
|  | 01/06 | 18.9 | 176.5 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 08/00 | 34.5 | 166.6 | 990 | 50 | STS |
|  | 01/12 | 20.0 | 175.9 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 08/06 | 34.9 | 166.1 | 992 | 45 | TS |
|  | 01/18 | 20.7 | 175.6 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 08/12 | 35.6 | 165.6 | 992 | 40 | TS |
|  | 02/00 | 21.8 | 175.1 | 940 | 90 | TY |  | 08/18 | 36.2 | 165.5 | 992 | 40 | TS |
|  | 02/06 | 22.6 | 175.0 | 940 | 90 | TY |  | 09/00 | 36.9 | 165.3 | 992 | 40 | TS |
|  | 02/12 | 23.4 | 175.0 | 940 | 90 | TY |  | 09/06 | 37.4 | 165.6 | 992 | 40 | TS |
|  | 02/18 | 24.1 | 175.3 | 940 | 90 | TY |  | 09/12 | 38.5 | 166.4 | 996 | 35 | TS |
|  | 03/00 | 24.9 | 175.6 | 940 | 90 | TY |  | 09/18 | 39.9 | 167.2 | 1000 | - | TD |
|  | 03/06 | 25.3 | 176.0 | 940 | 90 | TY |  | 10/00 | 41.4 | 167.3 | 1000 | - | TD |
|  | 03/12 | 25.7 | 176.4 | 940 | 90 | TY |  | 10/06 | 42.8 | 168.4 | 998 | - | L |
|  | 03/18 | 25.9 | 176.6 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 10/12 | 44.2 | 170.7 | 998 | - | L |
|  | 04/00 | 26.1 | 176.9 | 945 | 85 | TY |  | 10/18 | 46.3 | 172.5 | 994 | - | L |
|  | 04/06 | 26.4 | 176.9 | 950 | 85 | TY |  | 11/00 | 48.4 | 175.6 | 992 | - | L |
|  | 04/12 | 26.8 | 177.0 | 955 | 80 | TY |  | 11/06 | 51.2 | 177.4 | 992 | - | L |
|  | 04/18 | 27.1 | 176.7 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 11/12 | 54.6 | 178.0 | 992 | - | L |
|  | 05/00 | 27.8 | 175.6 | 965 | 65 | TY |  | 11/18 | 56.7 | 179.0 | 988 | - | L |
|  | 05/06 | 28.3 | 175.1 | 970 | 65 | TY |  | 12/00 | 57.6 | 181.4 | 986 | - | Out |



## TS HAGUPIT (0218)

Hagupit formed as a tropical depression (TD) over the sea northwest of Luzon Island at 18UTC 9 September 2002. It moved west-northwestward and became a tropical storm (TS) on the sea south of Hong Kong at OOUTC 11 September. Moving to the same direction, it reached peak intensity with maximum sustained wind of 45 kt on the sea south of Hong Kong at 06UTC 11 September. After its peak period of intensity, it made landfall on the west of Macao at around 19UTC 11 September. After making landfall, it weakened to a tropical depression at 00UTC 12 September. Then it started a clockwise turn to make a circular track around north of Leizhou Peninsula and dissipated over the sea southwest of Hong K ong at OOUTC 16 September.

| Date/Time $\qquad$ <br> (UTC) |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Center } \\ \text { Lat }(\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Position | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Central } \\ & \text { pressure } \\ & \text { (hPa) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max } \\ \text { Wind } \\ (\mathrm{kt}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Grade |  | Time (UTC) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Center } \\ \text { Lat }(\mathbb{N}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Position } \\ & \text { Lon }(\mathrm{E}) \end{aligned}$ | Central pressure (hPa) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max } \\ \text { Wind } \\ (\mathrm{kt}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TS HAGUPIT (0218) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep | 09/18 | 19.4 | 118.7 | 1002 |  | TD | Sep | 13/00 | 21.7 | 109.1 | 1006 |  | TD |
|  | 10/00 | 19.5 | 118.0 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 13/06 | 21.7 | 109.1 | 1004 |  | TD |
|  | 10/06 | 19.9 | 117.0 | 998 | - | TD |  | 13/12 | 21.6 | 109.1 | 1004 |  | TD |
|  | 10/12 | 20.1 | 116.2 | 994 | - | TD |  | 13/18 | 21.6 | 109.1 | 1004 |  | TD |
|  | 10/18 | 20.5 | 115.5 | 994 | - | TD |  | 14/00 | 21.6 | 109.3 | 1004 |  | TD |
|  | 11/00 | 20.6 | 114.2 | 992 | 35 | TS |  | 14/06 | 21.3 | 109.8 | 1004 |  | TD |
|  | 11/06 | 20.9 | 113.7 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 14/12 | 21.1 | 110.8 | 1002 |  | TD |
|  | 11/12 | 21.0 | 112.8 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 14/18 | 21.2 | 111.4 | 1004 |  | TD |
|  | 11/18 | 21.6 | 112.2 | 992 | 35 | TS |  | 15/00 | 21.3 | 112.3 | 1004 |  | TD |
|  | 12/00 | 22.0 | 111.0 | 998 | - |  |  | 15/06 | 21.4 | 112.7 | 1002 |  |  |
|  | 12/06 | 22.4 | 110.3 | 1000 | - |  |  | 15/12 | 21.7 | 112.7 | 1004 |  |  |
|  | 12/12 | 22.2 | 109.7 | 1004 | - |  |  | 15/18 | 21.7 | 112.5 | 1004 |  | TD |
|  | 12/18 | 22.0 | 109.4 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 16/00 |  |  |  |  | Dissip |



## TS CHANGMI (0219)

Changmi formed as a tropical depression (TD) over the sea east-northeast of Okinotorishima at 06UTC 20 September 2002. It moved northwestwards and became a tropical storm (TS) at 18UTC 21 September over the sea south of Honshu. Moving to the northeast, it reached its peak intensity with maximum sustained wind of 45 kt over the same waters at 06UTC 22 September. Soon it transformed into an extratropical cyclone over the sea southeast of Honshu at 12UTC 22 September. Until it reached to the sea south of Kuril Islands, it held a fairly straight northeast track. It crossed the international date line by 12UTC 25 September.

| Date/Time (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max <br> Wind <br> (kt) | Grade | Date/Time (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max <br> Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TS CHANGMI (0219) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep | 20/06 | 22.1 | 139.3 | 1000 | - | TD | Sep | 23/00 | 34.8 | 145.1 | 986 |  | L |
|  | 20/12 | 23.3 | 138.3 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 23/06 | 37.2 | 148.5 | 984 |  | L |
|  | 20/18 | 23.5 | 137.8 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 23/12 | 38.8 | 152.8 | 980 |  | L |
|  | 21/00 | 25.3 | 136.5 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 23/18 | 41.6 | 157.4 | 980 | - | L |
|  | 21/06 | 26.5 | 136.5 | 1000 | - | TD |  | 24/00 | 43.7 | 162.6 | 980 |  | L |
|  | 21/12 | 27.6 | 136.4 | 998 | - | TD |  | 24/06 | 44.7 | 167.1 | 980 |  | L |
|  | 21/18 | 27.9 | 136.6 | 994 | 40 | TS |  | 24/12 | 46.3 | 170.8 | 980 |  | L |
|  | 22/00 | 29.7 | 138.2 | 990 | 40 | TS |  | 24/18 | 48.7 | 174.7 | 976 |  | L |
|  | 22/06 | 30.9 | 138.8 | 985 | 45 | TS |  | 25/00 | 51.1 | 175.7 | 972 |  | L |
|  | 22/12 | 32.3 | 141.0 | 988 | - | L |  | 25/06 | 52.8 | 179.4 | 968 |  | L |
|  | 22/18 | 33.2 | 142.8 | 988 | - | L |  | 25/12 | 53.9 | 181.8 | 960 |  | Out |



## TS MEKKHALA (0220)

Mekkhala formed as a tropical depression (TD) over the middle of South China Sea at 06UTC 22 September 2002. It moved to the northwest and reached the sea south of Hainan Island. Then it intensified into a tropical storm (TS) at OOUTC 25 September. Moving to northwestward, it reached the peak intensity with maximum sustained wind of 45 kt over the same waters at 06UTC 25 September. Then it started a clockwise track along the western edge of Hainan Island. Reaching the northern part of the Gulf of Tongking, it weakened into a TD at 00UTC 28 September. And it dissipated over the same waters at 12UTC 28 September.

| Date/Time <br> (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max } \\ \text { Wind } \\ (\mathrm{kt}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Date/Time <br> (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TS MEKKHALA (0220) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep | 22/06 | 13.5 | 114.3 | 1004 |  | TD | Sep | 25/12 | 18.2 | 109.0 | 990 | 45 | TS |
|  | 22/12 | 13.5 | 114.0 | 1004 |  | TD |  | 25/18 | 18.7 | 108.8 | 996 | 40 | TS |
|  | 22/18 | 14.1 | 113.4 | 1004 |  | TD |  | 26/00 | 19.4 | 108.6 | 998 | 40 | TS |
|  | 23/00 | 14.2 | 112.3 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 26/06 | 19.6 | 108.6 | 998 | 40 | TS |
|  | 23/06 | 14.4 | 111.6 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 26/12 | 19.9 | 108.4 | 998 | 40 | TS |
|  | 23/12 | 14.8 | 111.2 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 26/18 | 20.6 | 108.5 | 998 | 35 | TS |
|  | 23/18 | 15.5 | 111.0 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 27/00 | 21.1 | 108.6 | 1000 | 35 | TS |
|  | 24/00 | 15.9 | 110.9 | 1004 |  | TD |  | 27/06 | 21.1 | 108.7 | 1000 | 35 | TS |
|  | 24/06 | 16.2 | 110.5 | 1004 |  | TD |  | 27/12 | 21.2 | 109.0 | 1000 | 35 | TS |
|  | 24/12 | 16.5 | 110.2 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 27/18 | 21.3 | 109.4 | 1000 | 35 | TS |
|  | 24/18 | 17.0 | 110.1 | 1002 | - | TD |  | 28/00 | 21.3 | 109.6 | 1004 |  | TD |
|  | 25/00 | 17.4 | 109.7 | 998 | 35 | TS |  | 28/06 | 21.2 | 109.7 | 1008 |  | TD |
|  | 25/06 | 17.9 | 109.3 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 28/12 |  |  |  |  | Dissip |



## TY HIGOS (0221)

Higos formed as a tropical depression (TD) over the sea southeast of Minamitorishima at OOUTC 26 September 2002. It moved westward and became a tropical storm (TS) on the sea south of Minamitorishima at 18UTC 26 September. Moving west-northwest, it reached a severe tropical storm (STS) over the sea east of Saipan at 06UTC 27 September and reached the typhoon (TY) intensity on the sea northwest of Saipan at 12UTC 28 September. Holding a fairly straight west-northwestward track, it reached its peak intensity with maximum sustained wind of 95kt at 12UTC 29 September. Then it made an abrupt turn to the northwards northeast of Okinotorishima at 18UTC on that day. After the abrupt turn, it accelerated significantly north-northeastwards and advanced over the sea south of Honshu. It passed Miura Peninsula at about 11UTC 1 October and made landfall east part of Kanagawa Prefecture at about half past 11UTC 1 October. After landfall, it moved on land along the northern part of Honshu and weakened to a STS at 18UTC 1 October. Moving northward, it left Honshu and advanced over the sea south of Hokkaido. It made landfall again Hokkaido (Tomakomai City) at 21UTC 1 October. After it went through Hokkaido, it advanced over the sea west of Sakhalin and transformed into an extratropical cyclone at 06UTC 2 October. It dissipated over the sea west of Kamchatka Peninsula at 06UTC 4 October.

| Date/Time <br> (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade | Date/Time (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY HIGOS (0221) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep | 26/00 | 15.6 | 157.4 | 1008 | - | TD | Oct | 01/00 | 29.6 | 137.5 | 945 | 80 | TY |
|  | 26/06 | 15.6 | 155.8 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 01/03 | 31.0 | 138.1 | 945 | 80 | TY |
|  | 26/12 | 15.7 | 155.3 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 01/06 | 32.9 | 138.3 | 950 | 80 | TY |
|  | 26/18 | 15.6 | 154.5 | 1000 | 40 | TS |  | 01/09 | 34.4 | 139.0 | 955 | 75 | TY |
|  | 27/00 | 16.5 | 152.2 | 996 | 45 | TS |  | 01/11 | 35.3 | 139.6 | 960 | 70 | TY |
|  | 27/06 | 16.5 | 150.9 | 990 | 50 | STS |  | 01/12 | 35.9 | 140.0 | 965 | 65 | TY |
|  | 27/12 | 16.9 | 149.2 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 01/15 | 38.2 | 140.9 | 970 | 65 | TY |
|  | 27/18 | 17.5 | 147.5 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 01/18 | 40.5 | 141.3 | 975 | 60 | STS |
|  | 28/00 | 17.9 | 145.8 | 975 | 60 | STS |  | 01/21 | 42.6 | 141.7 | 980 | 55 | STS |
|  | 28/06 | 18.7 | 144.2 | 970 | 60 | STS |  | 02/00 | 43.9 | 141.1 | 980 | 50 | STS |
|  | 28/12 | 19.1 | 142.1 | 965 | 65 | TY |  | 02/06 | 46.2 | 141.1 | 980 | - | L |
|  | 28/18 | 19.5 | 140.4 | 950 | 75 | TY |  | 02/12 | 47.8 | 141.6 | 980 | - | L |
|  | 29/00 | 19.9 | 138.8 | 940 | 85 | TY |  | 02/18 | 49.9 | 142.1 | 984 | - | L |
|  | 29/06 | 20.4 | 137.5 | 935 | 90 | TY |  | 03/00 | 51.2 | 144.5 | 984 | - | L |
|  | 29/12 | 20.9 | 136.7 | 930 | 95 | TY |  | 03/06 | 51.7 | 146.9 | 988 | - | L |
|  | 29/18 | 21.5 | 136.0 | 930 | 95 | TY |  | 03/12 | 52.0 | 150.6 | 992 | - | L |
|  | 30/00 | 22.5 | 135.9 | 930 | 95 | TY |  | 03/18 | 51.9 | 153.0 | 996 | - | L |
|  | 30/06 | 23.7 | 135.8 | 930 | 95 | TY |  | 04/00 | 51.9 | 155.2 | 1000 | - | L |
|  | 30/12 | 25.2 | 136.1 | 940 | 85 | TY |  | 04/06 |  |  |  |  | Dissip |
|  | 30/18 | 27.2 | 136.6 | 945 | 80 | TY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## STS BAVI (0222)

Bavi formed as a tropical depression (TD) west of Eniwetok Island at 12UTC 8 October 2002. It moved to the northwest and became a tropical storm (TS) east of Guam at 18UTC 9 October. Bavi changed its direction to the north at O0UTC 10 October, and then to the north-northwest at 18UTC on the same day. It intensified into a severe tropical storm (STS) southwest of Minamitorishima at OOUTC 11 October and reached the peak intensity west-southwest of Minamitorishima at 18UTC on the same day. Shortly after it changed its direction to the north, it downgraded into TS west of Minamitorishima at 06UTC 12 October. Bavi transformed into an extratropical cyclone northeast of Chichijima at 12UTC 13 October. It changed its direction to the northeast at 18UTC 13 October, and then to the east at 06UTC 15 October. It crossed the International Date Line on the following day.

| Date/Time <br> (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) |  | Grade | Date/Time$\qquad$ (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STS BAVI (0222) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct | 08/12 | 10.1 | 156.0 | 998 |  | TD | Oct | 12/12 | 25.6 | 146.8 | 990 | 45 | TS |
|  | 08/18 | 11.6 | 154.7 | 996 | - | TD |  | 12/18 | 26.8 | 146.7 | 990 | 45 | TS |
|  | 09/00 | 11.9 | 154.6 | 996 | - | TD |  | 13/00 | 27.8 | 147.1 | 990 | 45 | TS |
|  | 09/06 | 12.7 | 153.4 | 996 | - | TD |  | 13/06 | 28.9 | 147.5 | 990 | 45 | TS |
|  | 09/12 | 13.2 | 152.3 | 994 | - | TD |  | 13/12 | 30.8 | 148.0 | 990 | - | L |
|  | 09/18 | 13.6 | 151.3 | 990 | 40 | TS |  | 13/18 | 34.0 | 149.0 | 988 | - | L |
|  | 10/00 | 13.9 | 150.4 | 990 | 40 | TS |  | 14/00 | 38.0 | 153.0 | 984 | - | L |
|  | 10/06 | 14.5 | 150.2 | 990 | 40 | TS |  | 14/06 | 39.2 | 154.8 | 976 | - | L |
|  | 10/12 | 16.0 | 150.2 | 990 | 40 | TS |  | 14/12 | 41.7 | 157.8 | 976 | - | L |
|  | 10/18 | 17.5 | 150.1 | 985 | 45 | TS |  | 14/18 | 44.2 | 160.8 | 972 | - | L |
|  | 11/00 | 19.1 | 149.4 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 15/00 | 45.9 | 163.5 | 972 | - | L |
|  | 11/06 | 20.4 | 148.8 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 15/06 | 47.3 | 167.3 | 972 | - | L |
|  | 11/12 | 21.7 | 148.7 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 15/12 | 47.2 | 172.4 | 972 | - | L |
|  | 11/18 | 22.5 | 148.2 | 985 | 55 | STS |  | 15/18 | 46.8 | 174.5 | 972 | - | L |
|  | 12/00 | 23.0 | 147.4 | 985 | 50 | STS |  | 16/00 | 46.8 | 178.3 | 970 | - | L |
|  | 12/06 | 24.2 | 147.1 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 16/06 | 46.1 | 181.6 | 972 | - | Out |



## STS MAYSAK (0223)

Maysak formed as a tropical depression (TD) west-southwest of Wake Island at 12UTC 26 October 2002. It moved to the northwest and became a tropical storm (TS) east of Minamitorishima at 18UTC 27 October. After taking a northwestward track, it changed its direction to the northeast. It intensified into a severe tropical storm (STS) and changed the direction to the east-northeast at 18UTC 28 October. Maysak reached the peak intensity far east of J apan at 06UTC on the following day. It downgraded into TS intensity south of the Aleutian Islands at OOUTC 30 October and crossed the International Date Line keeping TS intensity on that day.

| Date/Time <br> (UTC) | Center <br> Lat (N) | Position <br> Lon (E) | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind (kt) | Grade |  | Time <br> (UTC) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Center } \\ & \text { Lat ( } \mathrm{N}) \end{aligned}$ | Position <br> Lon (E) | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STS MAYSAK (0223) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct 26/12 | 18.0 | 162.6 | 1008 |  | TD | Oct | 28/12 | 26.3 | 160.3 | 992 | 45 | TS |
| 26/18 | 19.0 | 162.4 | 1008 | - | TD |  | 28/18 | 28.0 | 161.4 | 985 | 50 | STS |
| 27/00 | 20.2 | 161.4 | 1008 |  |  |  | 29/00 | 29.2 | 163.2 | 985 | 55 | STS |
| 27/06 | 20.6 | 160.7 | 1008 | - |  |  | 29/06 | 30.3 | 166.1 | 980 | 55 | STS |
| 27/12 | 21.8 | 159.9 | 1006 | - | TD |  | 29/12 | 31.5 | 169.8 | 985 | 55 | STS |
| 27/18 | 23.4 | 159.7 | 1002 | 35 | TS |  | 29/18 | 31.6 | 173.3 | 990 | 50 | STS |
| 28/00 | 24.3 | 158.5 | 998 | 40 | TS |  | 30/00 | 33.4 | 177.9 | 994 | 40 | TS |
| 28/06 | 25.0 | 158.8 | 996 | 45 | TS |  | 30/06 | 34.4 | 181.7 | 996 | 40 | Out |



## TY HUKO (0224)

A tropical cyclone generated in the central North Pacific was named Huko by the Central Pacific Hurricane Center (CPHC), RSMC Honolulu. It crossed the International Date Line with typhoon intensity at around 12UTC 3 November 2002, and moved into the western North Pacific, the area of responsibility of the RSMC Tokyo-Typhoon Center. Maintaining typhoon intensity, it moved west-northwestward over the sea north of the Marshall Islands. It made a slow turn to the northwestward at OOUTC 5 November northwest of Wake Island. Starting recurvature to the north over the sea east of Minamitorishima, it was downgraded to a severe tropical storm (STS) at 18UTC 5 November. After the recurvature it kept the east-northeast track and downgraded to a tropical storm (TS) at 12 UTC 6 November over the sea east-northeast of Minamitorishima. It transformed into an extratropical cyclone at OOUTC 7 November over the sea west of Midway Island. It crossed the International Date Line after 12UTC 7 November and returned to the central North Pacific.

|  | Time (UTC) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Center } \\ & \text { Lat (N) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Position } \\ & \text { Lon (E) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |  | Time <br> (UTC) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Center } \\ & \text { Lat ( } \mathrm{N} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Position } \\ & \text { Lon (E) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY HUKO (0224) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov | 03/06 | 15.3 | 181.8 | 985 | 75 | HR | Nov | 05/18 | 24.6 | 162.5 | 990 | 60 | STS |
|  | 03/12 | 15.7 | 179.9 | 985 | 75 | TY |  | 06/00 | 25.0 | 162.7 | 992 | 55 | STS |
|  | 03/18 | 16.2 | 177.4 | 985 | 75 | TY |  | 06/06 | 25.9 | 164.0 | 992 | 50 | STS |
|  | 04/00 | 16.8 | 175.1 | 985 | 75 | TY |  | 06/12 | 26.6 | 165.9 | 996 | 45 | TS |
|  | 04/06 | 17.2 | 172.2 | 985 | 75 | TY |  | 06/18 | 27.3 | 168.8 | 996 | 40 | TS |
|  | 04/12 | 18.3 | 169.9 | 985 | 75 | TY |  | 07/00 | 27.8 | 172.6 | 1000 |  | L |
|  | 04/18 | 19.6 | 167.7 | 985 | 75 | TY |  | 07/06 | 28.1 | 174.9 | 1004 |  | L |
|  | 05/00 | 20.5 | 165.4 | 990 | 70 | TY |  | 07/12 | 29.6 | 179.3 | 1006 | - | L |
|  | 05/06 | 21.8 | 163.8 | 990 | 65 | TY |  | 07/18 | 31.3 | 184.3 | 1008 | - | Out |
|  | 05/12 | 23.3 | 163.0 | 990 | 65 | TY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## TY HAISHEN (0225)

Haishen formed as a tropical depression (TD) over the sea northwest of the Truk Islands at OOUTC 20 November 2002. It moved northwestward and became a tropical storm (TS) southwest of Guam at 18UTC 20 November. Moving westward, it reached a severe tropical storm (STS) over the sea west of Guam at 18UTC 21 November. Then it made a turn to the north slowly and developed into typhoon intensity (TY) south of Okinotorishima at OOUTC 23 November. Holding a fairly straight north-northeastward track, it reached its peak intensity with maximum sustained wind of 85 kt at 12UTC 23 November. Advancing over the sea northeast of Chichijima, it weakened to a STS at 18UTC 24 November. Maintaining east-northeastward direction, it transformed into an extratropical cyclone over the sea northeast of Chichijima at OOUTC 25 November. It dissipated over the sea far east of J apan at 18UTC 26 November.



## TY PONGSONA (0226)

Pongsona formed as a tropical depression (TD) near the Marshall Islands at 06UTC 2 December 2002. It moved to the west-northwest and became a tropical storm (TS) northeast of Pompei Island at 12UTC 3 December. It moved to the west and developed into a typhoon (TY) over the waters north of the Truk Islands at 18UTC 5 December. It changed its direction to the northwest and passed near Guam around 06UTC 8 December. It reached the peak intensity north of Guam at 12UTC on that day and changed the direction gradually to the north, then to the northeast. Moving to the northeast over the waters northeast of Minamitorishima, it rapidly downgraded to the severe tropical storm (STS) intensity at OOUTC 11 December. Pongsona transformed into an extratropical cyclone northeast of Minamitorishima at O6UTC 11 December and dissipated far east of J apan at OOUTC 12 December.

| Date/Time <br> (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind <br> (kt) | Grade | Date/Time (UTC) |  | Center Position |  | Central pressure (hPa) | Max Wind (kt) | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY PONGSONA (0226) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec | 02/06 | 7.2 | 164.9 | 1004 | - | TD | Dec | 07/06 | 10.5 | 148.0 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 02/12 | 8.0 | 163.7 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 07/12 | 10.9 | 147.4 | 955 | 80 | TY |
|  | 02/18 | 8.3 | 163.2 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 07/18 | 12.0 | 146.4 | 950 | 80 | TY |
|  | 03/00 | 8.5 | 162.6 | 1004 | - | TD |  | 08/00 | 12.6 | 145.7 | 950 | 80 | TY |
|  | 03/06 | 8.7 | 162.0 | 1002 | - | TD |  | 08/06 | 13.5 | 145.2 | 945 | 85 | TY |
|  | 03/12 | 8.7 | 161.2 | 1000 | 35 | TS |  | 08/12 | 14.4 | 144.6 | 940 | 90 | TY |
|  | 03/18 | 8.8 | 160.9 | 994 | 40 | TS |  | 08/18 | 15.2 | 144.3 | 940 | 90 | TY |
|  | 04/00 | 8.5 | 159.8 | 992 | 40 | TS |  | 09/00 | 16.0 | 143.8 | 940 | 90 | TY |
|  | 04/06 | 8.1 | 159.4 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 09/06 | 16.9 | 143.6 | 940 | 90 | TY |
|  | 04/12 | 8.1 | 159.2 | 990 | 45 | TS |  | 09/12 | 18.1 | 143.7 | 940 | 90 | TY |
|  | 04/18 | 8.1 | 158.6 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 09/18 | 19.1 | 144.3 | 940 | 90 | TY |
|  | 05/00 | 8.0 | 157.3 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 10/00 | 20.5 | 145.8 | 945 | 85 | TY |
|  | 05/06 | 8.0 | 156.3 | 980 | 55 | STS |  | 10/06 | 22.4 | 147.3 | 945 | 85 | TY |
|  | 05/12 | 8.3 | 155.2 | 975 | 60 | STS |  | 10/12 | 24.0 | 150.1 | 950 | 80 | TY |
|  | 05/18 | 8.6 | 154.1 | 970 | 65 | TY |  | 10/18 | 25.3 | 153.3 | 965 | 70 | TY |
|  | 06/00 | 9.1 | 152.9 | 970 | 65 | TY |  | 11/00 | 27.7 | 157.1 | 980 | 55 | STS |
|  | 06/06 | 9.1 | 151.8 | 970 | 65 | TY |  | 11/06 | 29.0 | 160.0 | 996 |  | L |
|  | 06/12 | 9.3 | 151.0 | 970 | 65 | TY |  | 11/12 | 30.3 | 164.5 | 1004 | - | L |
|  | 06/18 | 9.4 | 150.1 | 965 | 70 | TY |  | 11/18 | 32.5 | 169.2 | 1006 |  | L |
|  | 07/00 | 10.1 | 149.2 | 960 | 75 | TY |  | 12/00 |  |  |  |  | Dissip |



## Code Forms of RSMC Products

(a) RSMC Tropical Cyclone Advisory (WTPQ20-25 RJ TD)<br>WTPQ i i RJTD YYGGgg<br>RSMC TROPICAL CYCLONE ADVISORY<br>NAME dass ty-No. name (common-No.)<br>ANALYSIS<br>PSTN YYGGgg UTC LaLa.La N LoLoLo.LoE (or W) confidence<br>MOVE direction SpSpSpKT<br>PRES PPPP HPA<br>MXWD VmVmVmKT<br>50KT RdRdRd NM (or 50KT RdRdRd NM octant RdRdRd NM octant)<br>30KT RdRdRd NM (or 30KT RdRdRd NM octant RdRdRd NM octant)<br>FORECAST<br>$\underline{24 H F}$ YYGGggf UTC LaLa.Laf N LoLoLo.Lof E (or w) FrFrFr NM 70\%<br>MOVE direction SpSpSpKT<br>PRES PPPP HPA<br>MXWD VmVmVmKT<br>Ft1Ft1HF YYGGggf UTC LaLa.Laf N LoLoLo.Lof E (or W) FrFrFr NM 70\%<br>MOVE direction SpSpSpKT<br>PRES PPPP HPA<br>MXWD VmVmVm KT<br>Ft2Ft2HF YYGGggF UTC LaLa.LaF N LoLoLo.Lof E (or W) FrFrFr NM 70\% MOVE direction $\operatorname{SpSpSp}$ KT =

## Notes:

a. Underlined is fixed.
b. Abbreviations

| PSTN | $:$ | Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MOVE | $:$ | Movement |
| PRES | $:$ | Pressure |
| MXWD | $:$ | Maximum wind |
| HF | $:$ | Hour forecast |

c. Symbolic letters
i i : '20', '21', '22', '23', '24' or '25'.
YYGGgg : Time of observation submitting the data for analysis. Date(YY), hour(GG) and minute(gg) are given in UTC.
class : Intensity classification of the tropical cyclone. 'TY', 'STS', TS' or 'TD'.
ty-No. : Domestic identification number of the tropical cyclone adopted in J apan. Given in four digits and same as the international identification number.
name : Name assigned to the tropical cyclone from the name list prepared by the Typhoon Committee.
common-No. : International identification number of the tropical cydones given in four digits.
LaLa.La : Latitude of the center position in "ANALYSIS" part.
LoLoLo.Lo : Longitude of the center position in "ANALYSIS" part.
confidence : Confidence of the center position. 'GOOD', 'FAIR' or 'POOR'.
direction : Direction of movement given in 16 azimuthal direction as ' $N$ ', 'NNE', 'NE', 'ENE' etc.
SpSpSp : Speed of movement.
PPPP : Central pressure.
VmVmVm : Maximum sustained wind.
RdRdRd : Radii of 30 knots and 50 knots wind.
octant : Eccentric distribution of wind given in 8 azimuthal direction as 'NORTH', 'NORTHEAST', 'EAST' etc.
Ft1Ft1 : $\quad 48(00,06,12$ and 18 UTC) or 45 ( $03,09,15$ and 21 UTC)

```
Ft2Ft2 : 72 (00, 06, 12 and 18 UTC) or 69 (03, 09, 15 and 21 UTC)
YYGGggr : Time in UTC on which the forecast is valid.
LaLa.LaF : Latitude of the center of 70% probability circle in "FORECAST" part.
LoLoLo.LOF : Longitude of the center of 70% probability circle in "FORECAST" part.
FrFrFr : Radius of 70% probability circle.
```

d. MOVE is optionally described as 'ALMOST STATIONARY' or '(direction) SLOWLY' depending on the speed of movement.

## Example:

WTPQ20 RJTD 180000
RSMC TROPICAL CYCLONE ADVISORY
NAME TY 0001 DAMREY (0001)
ANALYSIS
PSTN 180000UTC 14.8N 127.2E GOOD
MOVE W 12KT
PRES 905HPA
MXWD 105KT
50KT 180NM SOUTHEAST 150NM NORTHWEST
30KT 300NM
FORECAST
24HF 190000UTC 16.3N 125.7E 90NM 70\%
MOVE NNW 06KT
PRES 910HPA
MXWD 100KT
48HF 200000UTC 18.5N 126.5E 180NM 70\%
MOVE NNE 06KT
PRES 910HPA
MXWD 100KT
72HF 210000UTC 20.5N 129.0E 270NM 70\%
MOVE NE 08KT =
(b) RSMC Guidance for F orecast (FXPQ20-25 RJ TD)

FXPQ i i RJTD YYGGgg
RSMC GUIDANCE FOR FORECAST
NAME dass ty-No. name (common-No.)
PSTN YYGGgg UTC LaLa.La N LoLoLo.LoE (or w)
PRES PPPP HPA
MXWD WWW KT
FORECAST BY TYPHOON (or GLOBAL) MODEL
TIME PSTN PRES MXWD
(CHANGE FROM T=0)
T=06 LaLa.La N LoLoLo.LoE (or w) appp HPA awww KT
T=12 LaLa.La N LoLoLo.LoE (or w) appp HPA awww KT
T=18 LaLa.La N LoLoLo.LoE (or w) appp HPA awww KT

T=78 (or 84) LaLa.La N LoLoLo.LoE (or w) appp HPA awww KT=

## Notes:

a. Underlined is fixed.
b. Symbolic letters
i i : '20', '21', '22', '23', '24' or '25'.
YYGGgg : Initial time of the model in UTC
PPPP : Central pressure in hPa.
WWW : Maximum wind speed in knots.
a : Sign of ppp and www ( + , - or blank ).
ppp : Absolute value of change in central pressure from $\mathrm{T}=0$, in hectopascals.
www : Absolute value of change in maximum wind speed from $T=0$, in knots.
c. The prediction terminates in $\mathrm{T}=78$ for Typhoon Model and in $\mathrm{T}=84$ for Global M odel.

## Example:

FXPQ20 RJTD 180600
RSMC GUIDANCE FOR FORECAST
NAME T 0001DAMREY (0001)
PSTN 180000UTC 15.2N 126.3E
PRES 905HPA
MXWD 105KT
FORECAST BY GLOBAL MODEL
TIME PSTN PRES MXWD
(CHANGE FROM T=0)
$\mathrm{T}=0615.4 \mathrm{~N} 125.8 \mathrm{E}+018 \mathrm{HPA}-008 \mathrm{KT}$
$\mathrm{T}=12$ 15.5N 125.6E $+011 \mathrm{HPA}-011 \mathrm{KT}$
$\mathrm{T}=1815.8 \mathrm{~N} 125.7 \mathrm{E}+027 \mathrm{HPA}-028 \mathrm{KT}$
$\mathrm{T}=7820.7 \mathrm{~N} 128.8 \mathrm{E}+021 \mathrm{HPA}-022 \mathrm{KT}=$

## (c) SAREP (TCNA20/21 RJ TD)

TCNA i i RJTD YYGGgg
CCAA YYGGg 47644 name (common-No.) nt nt LaLaLa Qc LoLoLoLo 1At Wt at tm 2St St // (9ds ds fs fs ) $=$

## Notes:

a. Underlined is fixed.
b. Symbolic letters
ii : 20 for the observation at 03, 09, 15 and 21 UTC. 21 for the observation at $00,06,12$ and 18 UTC.
YYGGgg : Time of observation submitting the data for analysis. Date(YY), hour(GG) and minute(gg) are given in UTC.
nt nt : Serial number of the tropical cyclone in order of the time of its formation in the year. Given in '01' - '99' irrespective of TS attainment in intensity.
LaLaLa : Latitude given in 0.1 N
Qc : Quadrant of the earth. 1:N/E, 2:S/E, 3:S/W and 4:N/W.
LoLoLo : Longitude given in 0.1E
At : Confidence
$0: \leqq 10 \mathrm{~km} \quad 1: \leqq 20 \mathrm{~km} \quad 2: \leqq 50 \mathrm{~km} \quad$ 3: $\leqq 100 \mathrm{~km} \quad$ 4: $\leqq 200 \mathrm{~km} \quad 5: \leqq 300 \mathrm{~km}$
/: unable to determine
Wt : Mean diameter (d: degree in latitude) of cloud system.
$0: \mathrm{d}<1^{\circ} \quad 1: 1^{\circ} \leqq \mathrm{d}<2^{\circ} \quad 2: 2^{\circ} \leqq \mathrm{d}<3^{\circ} \quad 3: 3^{\circ} \leqq \mathrm{d}<4^{\circ} \quad 4: 4^{\circ} \leqq \mathrm{d}<5^{\circ} \quad 5: 5^{\circ} \leqq \mathrm{d}<6^{\circ}$

6: $6^{\circ} \leqq \mathrm{d}<7^{\circ} \quad 7: 7^{\circ} \leqq \mathrm{d}<8^{\circ} \quad 8: 8^{\circ} \leqq \mathrm{d}<9^{\circ} \quad$ 9: $9^{\circ} \leqq \mathrm{d} \quad$ /: unable to determine
at
: 24-hour intensity inclination.
0 : further weakening $\quad 1$ : weakening $\quad 2$ : no change
3: intensifying 4: further intensifying $\quad 9$ : no former observation /: unable to determine
$\mathrm{tm} \quad: \quad$ Time interval (t: hour) for determination of movement.
$0: \mathrm{t}<1 \quad 1: 1 \leqq \mathrm{t}<2 \quad 2: 2 \leqq \mathrm{t}<3 \quad 3: 3 \leqq \mathrm{t}<6 \quad 4: 6 \leqq \mathrm{t}<9 \quad$ 5: $9 \leqq \mathrm{t}<12$

6: $12 \leqq \mathrm{t}<15 \quad 7: 15 \leqq \mathrm{t}<18 \quad 8: 18 \leqq \mathrm{t}<21 \quad$ 9: $21 \leqq \mathrm{t}<30 \quad$ /: no (9dsdsfsfs) group
StSt : Intensity.
00: weakening $\quad 15,20,25 \cdots 80$ : CI-number (in 0.1)
99: under extratropical transformation //: unable to determine
dsds : Direction of movement (in $10^{\circ}$ ).
fsfs : Speed of movement (in knots).

## Example:

TCNA21 RJTD 180000
CCAA 1800047644
DAMREY(0001) 2914911272
11334 275// 92811=
(d) RSMC Prognostic Reasoning (WTPQ30-35 RJ TD)

## Example:

WTPQ30 RJTD 180000
RSMC TROPICAL CYCLONE PROGNOSTIC REASONING
REASONING NO. 9 FOR TY 0001 DAMREY (0001)
1.GENERAL COMMENTS

REASONING OF PROGNOSIS THIS TIME IS SIMILAR TO PREVIOUS ONE.
POSITION FORECAST IS MAINLY BASED ON NWP AND PERSISTENCY.
2.SYNOPTIC SITUATION

SUBTROPICAL RIDGE WILL NOT CHANGE ITS LOCATION AND STRENGTH FOR THE NEXT 24 HOURS.
3.MOTION FORECAST

POSITION ACCURACY AT 180000 UTC IS GOOD.
TY WILL DECELERATE FOR THE NEXT 12 HOURS.
TY WILL RECURVE WITHIN 60 HOURS FROM 180000 UTC.
TY WILL MOVE WEST FOR THE NEXT 12 HOURS THEN MOVE GRADUALLY TO WEST-NORTHWEST.
4. INTENSITY FORECAST

TY WILL KEEP PRESENT INTENSITY FOR NEXT 24 HOURS.
FI-NUMBER WILL BE 7.0 AFTER 24 HOURS.=
(e) Tropical Cyclone Advisory for SIGMET (FKPQ30-35 RJ TD)

FKPQ i i RJTD YYGGgg
TROPICAL CYCLONE ADVISORY FOR SIGMET
TROPICAL CYCLONE ADVISORY CENTRE TOKYO
NAME class ty-No. name (common-No.)
ANALYSIS
TIME YYGGggUTC
PSTN LaLa.LaN LoLoLo.LoE
MOVE direction SpSpSp KT
PRES PPPPHPA
MXWD WWWKT
12HR-FCST
TIME YYGGggUTC
PSTN LaLa.LaN LoLoLo.LoE
MOVE direction SpSpSp KT
PRES PPPPHPA
MXWD WWWKT
24HR-FCST
TIME YYGGggutc
PSTN LaLa.LaN LoLoLo.LoE
MOVE direction SpSpSp KT
PRES PPPPHPA
MXWD WWWKT=

## Notes:

a. Underlined is fixed.
b. Abbreviations

| PSTN | $:$ | Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MOVE | $:$ | Movement |
| PRES | $:$ | Pressure |
| MXWD | $:$ | Maximum wind |

c. Symbolic letters
i i : '30', '31', '32', '33', '34' or '35'.
YYGGgg : Time of observation submitting the data for analysis. Date(YY), hour(GG) and minute(gg) are given in UTC.
class : Intensity classification of the tropical cyclone. 'TY', 'STS', 'TS' or 'TD'.
ty-No. : Domestic identification number of the tropical cyclone adopted inJ apan. Given in four digits
and same as the international identification number.
name : Name assigned to the tropical cydone by J TWC (J oint Typhoon Warning Center, Guam). But for assignment, this is indicated as 'NAMELESS'.
common-No. : International identification number of the tropical cyclones given in four digits.
LaLa.La : Latitude of the center position.
LoLoLo.Lo : Longitude of the center position.
direction : Direction of movement given in 16 azimuthal direction as ' $N$ ', 'NNE', 'NE', 'ENE' etc.
SpSpSp : Speed of movement.
PPPP : Central pressure.
WWW : Maximum sustained wind.
d. MOVE is optionally described as 'ALMOST STATIONARY' or '(direction) SLOWLY' depending on the speed of movement.

## Example:

FKPQ30 RJTD 180000
TROPICAL CYCLONE ADVISORY FOR SIGMET
TROPICAL CYCLONE ADVISORY CENTRE TOKYO
NAME TY 0001 DAMREY (0001)
ANALYSIS
TIME 180000UTC
PSTN 14.8N 127.2E
MOVE WEST 012KT
PRES 0905HPA
MXWD 105KT
12HR-FCST
TIME 181200UTC
PSTN 15.5N 126.2E
MOVE WNW 009KT
PRES 0910HPA
MXWD 105KT
24HR-FCST
TIME 190000UTC
PSTN 16.3N 125.7E
MOVE NNW 006KT
PRES 0910HPA
MXWD 100KT =
(f) RSMC Tropical Cydone Best Track (AXPQ20 RJ TD)

AXPQ20 RJTD YYGGgg
RSMC TROPICAL CYCLONE BEST TRACK
NAME ty-No. name (common-No.) PERIOD FROM MMMDDTTUTC TO MMMDDTTUTC DDTT LaLa.LaN LoLoLo.LoE PPPHPA WWWKT DDTT LaLa.LaN LoLoLo.LoE PPPHPA WWWKT DDTT LaLa.LaN LoLoLo.LoE PPPHPA WWWKT DDTT LaLa.LaN LoLoLo.LoE PPPHPA WWWKT : :
DDTT LaLa.LaN LoLoLo.LoE PPPHPA WWWKT DDTT LaLa.LaN LoLoLo.LoE PPP $\underline{H P A}$ WWWKT REMARKS ${ }^{11}$
TD FORMATION AT MMMDDTTUTC
FROM TD TOTS AT MMMDDTTUTC
:
:
DISSIPATION AT MMMDDTTUTC=

## Notes:

a. Underlined is fixed.
b. 1) REMARKS is given optionally.
c. Symbolic letters

MMM : Month in UTC. Given as 'J AN', 'FEB', etc.
DD : Date in UTC.
TT : Hour in UTC.
PPP : Central pressure.
WWW : Maximum wind speed.
Example:
AXPQ20 RJTD 020600


## Appendix 6

## List of GPV products and data on the RSMC Data Serving System

| Area | 20S-60N,80E-160W | 20S-60N, 60E-160W | global area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resolution | $2.5 \times 2.5 \mathrm{deg}$ | $1.25 \times 1.25 \mathrm{deg}$ | $2.5 \times 2.5 \mathrm{deg}$ |  |
| Level \& | surface (P,U,V,T,TTd,R) <br> 850hPa(Z,U,V,T,TTd, $\omega$ ) <br> 700hPa(Z,U,V,T,TTd, $\omega$ ) <br> 500hPa(Z,U,V,T,TTd, $\zeta$ ) <br> 300hPa(Z,U,V,T) <br> 250hPa(Z,U,V,T) <br> 200hPa(Z,U,V,T) <br> 150hPa(Z,U,V,T) <br> $100 \mathrm{hPa}(Z, U, V, T)$ | ```surface(P,U,V,T,TTd,R) 1000hPa(Z,U,V,T,TTd) 925hPa(Z,U,V,T,TTd, \omega) 850hPa(Z**,U**,V\*,T*,TTd**, \omega, \psi,\chi) 700hPa(Z***,U**,V**,T**,TTd**,\omega) 500hPa(Z**,U**,V**,T**,TTd**,\zeta) 400hPa(Z,U,V,T,TTd) 300hPa(Z,U,V,T,TTd) 250hPa(Z,U,V,T) 200hPa(Z**,U*,V**,T**, \psi,\chi) 150hPa(Z,U,V,T) 100hPa(Z,U,V,T) 70hPa(Z,U,V,T) 50hPa(Z,U,V,T) 30hPa(Z,U,V,T) 20hPa(Z,U,V,T) 10hPa(Z,U,V,T)``` | surface (P,U,V,T,R) <br> 850hPa(Z,U,V,T,TTd)* <br> $700 \mathrm{hPa}(Z, \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{TT})^{*}$ <br> 500hPa(Z,U,V,T)* <br> 300hPa(Z,U,V,T) <br> $250 \mathrm{hPa}(Z, \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{T})$ <br> $200 \mathrm{hPa}(Z, U, V, T)^{*}$ <br> $100 \mathrm{hPa}(Z, U, V, T)$ | surface (P,U,V,T,TTd) 1000hPa(Z,U,V,T,TTd) 850hPa(Z,U,V,T,TTd) 700hPa(Z,U,V,T,TTd) 500hPa(Z,U,V,T,TTd) 400hPa(Z,U,V,T,TTd) 300hPa(Z,U,V,T,TTd) 250hPa(Z,U,V,T) 200hPa(Z,U,V,T) 150hPa(Z,U,V,T) $100 \mathrm{hPa}(Z, U, V, T)$ 70hPa $(Z, \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{T})$ 50hPa(Z,U,V,T) 30hPa(Z,U,V,T) 20hPa $(Z, U, V, T)$ 10hPa(Z,U,V,T) |
| FCST <br> Hours | $00,06,12,18,24,30,36,48$ $60,72$ | 00,06,12,18,24,30,36,42,48,54,60,66,72 <br> 12UTC: Surface(P,U,V,T,TTd,R), from 78 to 192 hours, every 6 hours <br> **; 96,120,144,168,192(12UTC only) | OOUTC : 24,48,72 <br> 12UTC : 00,24,48,72,96,120 <br> *; 144,168,192(12UTC only) | OOUTC : 00 |
| Time/Day | 2 times (00 and 12 UTC) | 2 times (00 and 12 UTC) | 2 times (00 and 12 UTC) |  |



| Products /Data | GMS Data | Typhoon Information | Global Wave Model | Observations data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contents | (a) Digital data (GRIB) <br> -Cloud amount <br> -Convective cloud amount <br> -Equivalent blackbody temperature <br> (b) Satellite-derived high density cloud motion vectors (BUFR) | Tropical cyclone related information (BUFR) <br> -Position, etc. | - Wave height <br> -Wave period <br> -Prevailing wave direction <br> Forecast Times: <br> Initial, $06,12,18,24,30,36$, <br> 42,48,54,60,72 (00\&12UTC), <br> 96,120,144,168,192 (12UTC) | (a) Surface data (SYNOP) <br> (b) Upper air data (TEMP, Part A-D) (PILOT, Part A-D) |
| Frequency (initial time(s)) | (a) 4 times ( $00,06,12$ and 18UTC) a day <br> (b) Once (04UTC) a day | 4 times ( $00,06,12$ and 18 UTC) a day | 2 times (00 and 12 UTC) a day | (a) Mainly 4 times a day <br> (b) Mainly 2 times a day |

## User's Guide to the attached CD-ROM

Copyright (c) 2003 by the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) All Rights Reserved.

1) This CD-ROM should not be reproduced and not be provided to any third party.
2) The source should be properly acknowledged in any work obtained with this CD-ROM.

JMA does not accept liability for any direct and/or indirect loss or damage to the user caused by the use of the software, data or documents in this CD-ROM.

## Preface

This CD-ROM contains all the texts, tables, charts of this report and GMS-5 satellite images of the tropical cyclones that attained TS intensity or higher in the western North Padific and the South China Sea in 2002. This document is a brief user's guide for the CD-ROM. The CD-ROM was mastered in ISO-9660 format.

## Directory and File layout

|------ar405eng.exe (Acrobat Reader Installer)
|------Readme.txt (belief explanation about the CD-ROM)
|------TopMenu.exe (Start menu setup program)
|------Users_Manual.htm (user's manual of a satellite image viewer)
|------Annual_Report
|---Text (text of Annual Report 2002 in PDF)
|---Figure (figures for MS PowerPoint)
|---Table (tables for MS Excel)
|---Appendix (appendixes for MS Excel, PowerPoint)
|------Programs
|---Gmslpd
|--Gmslpd.exe (Viewer; tropical cyclone version in English)
|--Gsetup.exe, etc. (Setup program, etc.)
|------Satellite_Image_Data
|---2002_1 (3-hourly GMS image data)
|---2002_2 (3-hourly GMS image data)
|---2002_26 (3-hourly GMS image data)
|------Users_Manual
|--Gmanual.doc (User's Manual for MS Word)
|------Andata
|--Best2002.txt (Best track data for the year 2002)

## How to use this CD-ROM

When you set the CD-ROM, start menu will be presented automatically with a panel which has "Annual Report 2002", "GMS Satellite Images", "About CD-ROM" and "Close" buttons and a file list box for some introductory documents. Choose and click a button or file which you want to see and follow instructions on your display.

Required hardware/OS for the CD-ROM are:
Hardware :DOS-V, NEC PC-9800 Series or their compatible
OS :Microsoft Windows Ver. 3.1 or later

## < Annual Report 2002 >

Annual Report 2002 is prepared in the following two formats: "PDF files" and "MS Word/Excel/PowerPoint files".

- PDF files:

Click the "Annual Report 2002" button to open the annual report 2002 in PDF. If you can not open the PDF file, install 'Adobe Acrobat Reader' with its installer (ar405eng.exe) in the file list box on a start menu window, and try again. 'Adobe Acrobat Reader' (or 'Adobe Acrobat') is required to view PDF files.

- Word/Excel/PowerPoint files:

Original figures and tables prepared with Microsoft Word, Excel or PowerPoint are stored in Annual_Report folder of the CD-ROM.

## < GMS Satellite Images >

- Installation of a program for displaying satellite images:

Click the "GMS Satellite Image" button to run a setup program (Gsetup.exe) of a satellite image viewer. If you follow some instructions, the viewer 'Gmslpd.exe', which is a program for displaying satellite images, will be installed into the harddisk of your computer and a list of the tropical cyclones in 2002 is displayed in the 'Selection window' of satellite images for tropical cyclones.

- Displaying satellite images:

Choose a tropical cyclone from the list and click the name, and 3-hourly satellite images for the tropical cyclone will be displayed. You can display the track of the tropical cyclone superimposed on the satellite image and measure the intensity of the tropical cyclone using Dvorak's technique.

- User's manual for the viewer:

Besides the above functions, the viewer has many useful ones. See the User's Manual (Users_Manual.htm or /Users_Manual/Gmanual.doc) about further detailed operations.

- Explanation of satellite image data

Period : From Generating Stage to Weakening Stage of each tropical cyclone.
Images : Infrared images (00, 03, 06, 09, 12, 15, 18, 21UTC)
Visible images (00, 03, 06, 09, 21UTC)
Range $\quad: 40$ degrees in both latitude and longitude.
(The image window moves following a tropical cyclone's track so that the center of a tropical cyclone is fixed at the center of the image window.)
Time interval : 3-hourly
Resolution : 0.08 degrees in both latitude and longitude.
Compression of file : Compressed using 'compress.exe' command of Microsoft Windows.

## < About CD-ROM >

Click the "About CD-ROM" button to open ReadmeE .txt file.

## < Close >

Click the "Close" button to close start menu window.

## < File list box >

You can open document files from a file list box on the start menu window. Choose a file and click the "Open" button or double click the file name.

Microsoft Windows is the registered trademark of Microsoft Corporation in the United States and other countries. Adobe and Acrobat Reader are the trade mark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

PC-9800 Series is the trademark of NEC Corporation.

For further information, please contact the following address:
RSMC Tokyo-Typhoon Center, Forecast Division,
Forecast Department, JAPAN METEOROLOGICAL AGENCY
1-3-4 Otemachi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, 100-8122 Japan
FAX: +81-03-3211-8303
e-mail: ishihara@met.kishou.go.jp

## JAPAN METEOROLOGICAL AGENCY

1-3-4 Otemachi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, 100-8122 Japan

